Mills, J. V. G. ed. and trans. 1970. Ma Huan Ying-yai Sheng-lan: 'The Overall Survey of the Ocean's Shores' Cambridge.

Milner, A. C., E. E. McKinnon, and Tengku Luckman Sinar S. H. 1978. "A Note on Aru and Kota Cina", *Indonesia*, no. 26.

Perret, D. and H. Surachman 2011, "South Asia and the Tapanuli Area (North-West Sumatra): Ninth-Fourteenth Centuries CE", in Early Interactions between South and Southeast Asia: Reflections on Cross-Cultural Exchange, edited by P. Manguin, A. Mani and G. Wade, Singapore.

Reid, A. 2005. An Indonesian Frontier: Acehnese & Other History of Sumatra, Leiden.

Subbarayalu, Y. 2002. "The Tamil Merchant-Guild Inscription at Barus, Indonesia: A Rediscovery", in Ancient and Medieval Commercial Activities in the Indian Ocean: Testimony of Inscriptions and Ceramic-sherds: Report of the Taisho University Research Project 1997–2000, edited by N. Karashima, Taisho University, Tokyo.

Teuku Iskandar. 1958. De Hikajat Atjéh, The Hague.

Melaka: A Model of Malay Islamic States

NISHIO Kanji

(Research Fellow, Toyo Bunko; Professor, School of Humanities and Social Sciences, Department of Humanities, National Defense Academy of Japan)

In analyzing Islamic development in early modern Southeast Asia, other studies have paid attention to [a], [b], and [c] as shown below. On the other hand, as mentioned in [d], several arguments have been made on the pre-colonial Malay political culture.

[a] Melaka Model: An Exemplary Model

Major Malay port-polities in Melaka Strait area played a very significant role in developing Islamic civilization within Insular Southeast Asia. In this period, Pasai, Melaka, Aceh, Johor, and Riau-Johor (or Johor-Riau), in this order, each grew to be the center of trade and functioned as the center of Islamic learning in Southeast Asia. In the second



A Scene after the Bersiram Tabal (bathing) Ceremony Figure on the Panca Persada Stage during the Enthronement Ceremony of Perak in Malaysia, Dated December 11, 1985. [Source: Jawatankuasa Panel Penulis Khas 1986: 43]

half of the 15th century, Melaka was an exemplary model of the Islamic state to the neighboring states and also contributed much to the spread of Islam through its trading network to the eastern islands like Java and Borneo.

[b] Aceh Model: Another Exemplary Model

From the second half of the 16th century to the first half of the next century, Aceh became a center of Islamic learning and wished to achieve a more Islam-oriented state. While inviting Muslim scholars (of both foreign and local origin) who studied in West Asia, Aceh appointed them to top posts (Syaif al-Islam) in the Islamic administration. Those who held such posts played an active role in Islamic administration of Aceh, which supplied the neighboring Islamic states with a new exemplary model. This Aceh model was more Islamic-oriented than the Melaka model.

[c] The 17th Century: A Turning Point in the History of Islam in Southeast Asia

As the Aceh model suggests, the 17th century is regarded as a turning point in the history of Islam in Southeast Asia. Local Muslim people began to be loyal to Islamic norms from this century onward, although the first waves of Islamization in this region had already started in the middle of the 13th century. Their awakening happened due to the increase of European visitors as well as some other factors. Malay documents such as the Malay royal customary law of Riau-Johor suggest that Islam played a more significant role in local societies from the 18th century onward. This customary law shows that Islamic norms were set above the position of rulers in Malay society around this time.

[d] Arguments on the Pre-Colonial Malay Political Culture

So far, other studies have focused on traditional concepts such as *daulat* (divinity or supernatural power of Malay rulers), *derhaka* (treason against Malay rulers), and *nama* (fame of Malay rulers, titles of their people). Malay historians usually claim that people in the Malay sultanates were always loyal to their rulers for they believed in *daulat* and its effect on those who committed *derhaka*. On the other hand, although referring those two concepts as well, British scholar, J. M. Gullick thinks that the above-mentioned traditional view was no longer influential in the 19th century. A. C. Milner's view, that the pre-colonial Malay polity (*kerajaan*) was ruler-centered, is somewhat similarity to that of Malay historians. His argument, however, claims that *nama* has been the most important concept in the Malay political life during the Islamic period. Although both these arguments differ in detail, such alternative views themselves suggest that the transformation of Malay political culture occurred during early modern times.

While recognizing the above-mentioned four points, the present study discusses the case of Melaka in order to examine state formation of the Malay Islamic states before the 17th century. For this purpose, we will approach the *Sejarah Melayu* and try to analyze factors that legitimize the position of the ruler. Our main interest here is to clarify such elements that support the divinity of a Malay ruler and their connections with Islamic factors. While reexamining the following seven aspects, I would like to point out some features of the Melaka model.

[1] Malay Political Contract (Perjanjian) in the Sejarah Melayu

The political contract between the Malay rulers and their people is regarded as the most significant political principle in the Melaka model. Both parties made this contract by taking a mutual oath and Allah was considered its witness. This contract includes Islamic norms and two traditional concepts, *daulat* and *derhaka*. At the same time, however, this contract stresses the predominance of Islamic laws over the concepts of *daulat* and *derhaka*. In other words, the supreme power of Melaka rulers is limited by Islamic norms.

[2] Criteria for Good Rulers

The Sejarah Melayu considers that adil (just, fair), murah (generous), and saksama (fair, careful) are the criteria for good rulers. In the context of Islam, adil is often stressed as important requirement of good ruler, as shown in the Taj al-Salatin (a Malay translation of Persian texts on statecraft, which was edited in Aceh in 1603). Therefore, it is certain that the criteria for good ruler in the Sejarah Melayu are also related to Islamic norms.

[3] An Important Question: What Measures Can Be Taken against the *Zalim* (unjust, unfair) Rulers by Their People?

The political contract states that the people do not have to keep their terms of the political contract any longer. Nonetheless, the same political contract does not allow the people to commit treason against the *zalim* rulers, since it mentions that Allah will punish those who depart from the terms of the contract. This interpretation accords with the part of *Sejarah Melayu* on the decline and downfall of Melaka. Yet, such a view of the *Sejarah Melayu* is more conservative than the answer given in the *Taj al-Salatin*. A more radical answer to the question is found only in the Malay document of the 18th century.

[4] Divinity of Malay Rulers (Daulat)

Only Islam is considered as the source of supernatural power in the Sejarah Melayu. In this work, those who possess supernatural power are limited two groups of people. One is the Saiyid or Syarif people who ascend the Prophet Muhammad and the other is the Melaka rulers who ascend Raja Iskandar D'zulkarnain (Alexander of the Two Horns, a legendary hero who was active in spreading Islam). While the supernatural power of the Saiyid or Syarif people is mentioned through the Malay word sumpah, which also means "oath", a Malay word of Arabic origin, daulat is used for that of Melaka rulers. Although the idea of supernatural power itself dates back to pre-Islamic (or pre-Hindu) local society, such a pre-Islamic view is reformed to accord with Islamic values. It is noteworthy that the good genealogies or pedigrees (bangsa) functioned as significant elements in the Malay view on supernatural powers. These facts account for the difference in the concept of divinity between the Malay and the Javanese.

[5] Enthronement Ceremony

The Sejarah Melayu mentions the panca persada (a nine-layered stage for the enthronement ceremony), but does not describe the Malay enthronement ceremony in detail. According to the cases of Perak and Negeri Sembilan in the latter half of the 20th century, however, it is probable that the main events of the Malay enthronement ceremony have basically made up of two parts since the Melaka period. Whereas the second part (Pertabalan or Menurungkan Daulat ceremony) is characterized by Islamic factors and is done inside the court, the first part (Bersiram Tabal or Bersiram ceremony) is done on the panca persada outside the court, and looks like the abhiseka ceremony of Indian origin. If this is correct, it is reasonable to think that the ceremony of Indian origin has still remained as a sub-system in Islamized Malay enthronement ceremony. In that sense, the Malay view on supernatural powers has links to both Hindu and Islamic factors.

[6] Role of *Ulama* (Muslim scholars)

The Sejarah Melayu suggests that those ulama that bore titles of either maulana (a title for brilliant Islamic scholars) or makhdum (an honorific for Islamic intellectuals) were invited to the court as religious teacher or adviser, and the sultans and the dignitaries (orang besar) deepened their understanding on Islam under their guidance. This work also describes some activities of the katib (scribe) and the kadi (judge of Islamic court). However, the roles of ulama in the administration of Melaka are not so clearly indicated in the work. On the other hand, the ulama played very active roles in administration in the Aceh model.

[7] Concept of Nama

This concept is not clearly seen in the *Sejarah Melayu*, while the *Hikayat Hang Tuah* often mentions it. Since the edition of that work is traced back to around the early 18th century, the concept grew to be popular in

Malay society as the interest in Islamic norms increased.

So far, turning attention to seven aspects in its court history, the *Sejarah Melayu*, this paper has discussed the characteristics of state formation of Melaka. To conclude:

[i] It is likely that the main point of state formation of Melaka is the Malay political contract between rulers and their people. This contract is based on the Islamic framework, although it includes pre-Islamic (indigenous, Indian) traditional concepts. The Islamic framework is also clearly seen in criteria for good rulers.

[ii] As for supernatural powers, the *Sejarah Melayu* connects them only to the Islamic framework. Therefore, it seems that its view on supernatural powers does not accord with the fact that both Hindu and Islamic factors are still found in the Malay enthronement ceremony in the 20th century. However, the Hindu factors actually have remained just as sub-system in the ceremony.

[iii] Malay states in the pre-17th century, however, are not the same as those in the 18th century in that the latter respect the Islamic norms far more than the former. Its evidence can be seen in the following three facts. First, Melaka people were required to follow the unjust rulers. Second, the detail of Islamic administration is not described in the *Sejarah Melayu*. Third, *nama* did not seem to be popular concept yet in the Melaka society.

[iv] Melaka model played an active role in showing that the Islamic norms are not contradictory to the respect for the position of rulers and their genealogy. Therefore, the ruler-centered polity has been maintained in the Malay society until the 17th century. In other words, Islam did not play an active role in watching kingship yet in that period.

Abbreviations

DBP: Dewan Bahasa dan Pustaka

JMBRAS: Journal of the Malayan/Malaysian Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society

KL: Kuala Lumpur

MBRAS: The Malaysian Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society

OUP: Oxford University Press

SMd: A. Samad Ahmad (ed.) 1986 [1979] Sulalatus Salatin: Sejarah Melayu. KL: DBP.

SMr: Winstedt, R. O. (ed.) 1938 "Sejarah Melayu" $\it JMBRAS$ 16-2, 3.

SMs: Shellabear, W. G. (ed.) 1975 Sejarah Melayu. Petaling Jaya: Fajar Bakti.

Bibliography

Andaya, B. W. 1975 "The Nature of the State in Eighteenth Century Perak" In: Reid and Castles 1975: 22–35.

Andaya, L. Y. 1975 "The Structure of Power in 17th Century Johor" In: Reid and Castles 1975: 1–11.

———— 2001 "Aceh's Contribution to Standards of Malayness" *Archipel* 61: 29–68.

Brown, C. C. (tr.) 1970 Sejarah Melayu or Malay Annals. KL: OUP.

Bukhari al-Jauhari (Khalid.M. Hussain [ed.]) 1992 Taj us-Salatin. KL: DBP.

Gullick, J. M. 1958 Indigenous Political Systems of Western Malaya. London: Athlone.

- Jawatankuasa Panel Penulis Khas (ed.) 1986 Kenangan Pertabalan Raja Kita Ke 34, Taiping: Jawatankuasa Panel Penulis Khas & Pejabat Orang Besar Jajahan Larut Matang and Selama.
- Kashim Ahmad (ed.) 1991 [1964] Hikayat Hang Tuah. KL: DBP.
- Liaw, Yock Fang (ed.) 1976 Undang-Undang Melaka. The Hague: Martinus Nijhof
- Milner, A. C. 1982 Kerajaan: Malay Political Culture on the Eve of Colonial Rule. Tucson: University of Arizona Press.
- Nishio Kanji 1999 "Cheah Boon Kheng, The Rise and Fall of the Great Melakan empire: Moral judgment in Tun Bambang's Sejarah Melayu, JMBRAS, vol. 71, part 2, 1998." MANU (Journal of the Centre for the Promotion of Knowledge & Language Learning, Universiti Malaysia Sabah) 2: 207–18.
- 2001a "Kinsei Murayu ōken no rekishiteki tenkai: Muraka, Johōru, Johōru Riau no bunseki kara 近世ムラユ王権の歴 史的展開:ムラカ,ジョホール,ジョホール・リアウの分析から (The transformation of Malay political culture in the early modern period: Melaka, Johor and Johor-Riau)", Tōnan Ajia: Rekishi to bunka 東南アジア:歴史と文化 (Southeast Asia: History and culture) 30: 25–45.
- -2003 "Political Contracts in the Early Modern Malay-Indonesian World: Analysis of Malay Historical Materials", AJAMES (Annals of Japan Association for Middle East Studies) 19–1: 75–96.
- 2009 "Political Strategy for Coexistence in Multi-Ethnic Societies: The Concept of Orang Melayu in the 18th Century Johor-Riau Sultanate" In: Ishii Y. (ed.) 2009 Toyo Bunko Research Library vol. 10: The Changing Self-Image of Southeast Asian Society during the 19th and the 20th Centuries. Tokyo: Toyo Bunko: 3-26.
- Panuti H. M. Sudjiman (ed.) 1982 Adat Raja-Raja Melayu. Penerbit Universitas Indonesia.
- Reid, A. 1993a Southeast Asia in the Age of Commerce 1450-1680, vol. 2. New Haven: Yale University Press.
- 1993b The Making of an Islamic Political Discourse in Southeast Asia. Clayton: Centre of Southeast Asian Studies, Monash University.
- Reid, A. and L. Castles (eds.) 1975. Pre-colonial State Systems in Southeast Asia. KL: MBRAS.
- Skeat, W. W. 1965 [1900] Malay Magic. London: Frank Class.
- Tamura, Katsumi 田村克己 1991 "Kisō Bunka to Hinduism 基層文化とヒンドゥイズム (The indigenous culture and Hinduism)" In: Maeda, Narifumi 前田成文 Kōza Tōnan Ajia Gaku 5: Tōnan Ajia no bunka 『講座東南アジア学5: 東南アジアの文化』(Lecture series Southeast Asian studies 5: Cultures in Southeast Asia),Tokyo: Kōbundo: 21-48.
- Taufik Abdullah 1993 "The Formation of a Political Tradition in the Malay World" In: Reid 1993b: 35–58.
- Tol, R. and J. J. Witkam (eds.) 1993 Mukhtasar Twarikh al-Wusta: A Short Chronicle of the Riau Region. Leiden: Indonesian Linguistics Development Project and Legatum Warnerianum in the Library of Leiden University.
- Tomizawa, Hisao 富沢寿男 1987 "Shakai Kōzō to Kokka 社会構造と国家 (Social Structure and State)", In: Ito, Abito et al (eds.) Gendai no shakaijinruigaku 3: Kokka to bunmei he no katei 現代の社会人類学 3:国家と文明への過程 (Social antropology in contemporary times 3: the process for state and civilization), Tokyo: The University of Tokyo Press: 35-56.
- 2003 Ōken girei to kokka 王権儀礼と国家 (Royal rituals and state), Tokyo: The University of Tokyo Press.
- Wake, C. H. 1983 "Melaka in the Fifteenth Century: Malay Historical Traditions and the Politics of Islamization" In: Sandhu, K. S. and P. Wheatley (eds.) Melaka, the Transformation of a Malay Capital c.1400-1980 (2vols). KL: OUP, vol. 1: 128-61.
- Wan Hashim Wan Teh 1991 D. Y. M. M. Sultan Azlan Shah: Biografi Bergambar, KL: DBP.
- Winstedt, R. O. 1947 "Kingship and Enthronement in Malaya" JMBRAS 20-1: 129-39.
- Zainal Abidin bin Abdul Wahid 1983 "Power and Authority in the Melaka Sultanete: the Traditional View" In: Sandhu, K. S. and P. Wheatley (eds.) Melaka, the Transformation of a Malay Capital c.1400-1980 (2vols). KL: OUP, vol. 1: 101-12.