

Notes on the Rājasūya-section (IX. 1.) of the Mānava-śrautasūtra.

By Naoshiro TSUJI

A powerful stimulus which has induced me to write these notes is mainly referable to the publication of the following books quite important for the study of the Vedic ritual: Prof. J.C. Heesterman's excellent description and interpretation of the rājasūya¹⁾ and Dr. Jeannette M. van Gelder's long-looked-for edition and translation of the whole Mānava-śrautasūtra²⁾. As for the rājasūya we are now in a favourable position to be able to make use of not only Weber's treatise of lasting merit³⁾, chiefly based on the Vājasaneyin tradition, as well as Prof. Heesterman's above-mentioned book where the Taittirīya tradition occupies the first place in its descriptive part, but also the critical text together with an English translation of a major śrautasūtra of the Caraka-school.

As is universally admitted W. Caland's (Abbr. Cal.) translation of the Āpastamba-śrautasūtra (Āp.) with copious quotations from and references to the whole range of the Vedic texts has contributed tremendously to our understanding of the śrauta-ritual. In this book, however, all the materials have naturally been concentrated on the Āp. In the following notes the starting point is the Mānava-śrautas. (Mān. IX. I) and the vidhi-elements⁴⁾ of the Maitrāyaṇī-Saṁhitā (MS II. 6. 1-13; IV. 3. 1—4. 10) are quoted under the related precepts of the Mān. Then the corresponding passages of the Vārāha-śrautasūtra (Vār. III. 3)

-
- (1) The Ancient Indian Royal Consecration, the Rājasūya described according to the Yajus texts and annotated. 's-Gravenhage 1957. (Abbr. H)
 - (2) The Mānava Śrauta Sūtra belonging to the Maitrāyaṇī Saṁhitā. New Delhi (Intern. Academy of Indian Culture) 1961; English translation, ibid. 1963. (Abbr. ed. (G.) and G. (tr.))
 - (3) Über die Königsweihe, den rājasūya. Abh. Preuss. AW., Berlin 1893. (Abbr. W.)
 - (4) See my previous book: On the relation between Brāhmaṇas and Śrautasūtras. Tokyo 1952 [Written in Japanese with a summary in English], p. 186, n. 2. There I have examined the relation between the vidhi- or sūtra-elements of the MS and the nirūḍhapaśu-sections of the Mān. and the Vār., and I have arrived at a conclusion that the vidhi-elements of the former are on the whole scrupulously adopted by the sūtrakāras. On the basis of this recognition I have tried to reconstruct an outline of the independent paśubandha of the Kāṭhas out of the vidhi-elements of the Kāṭhakam and other related texts.

are added and the discrepancies, if any, between the Saṁhitā and both or one of the Śrautasūtras as well as those between both Sūtras are pointed out. The arthavāda has been taken in consideration only when it can supply a deficiency of the vidhi. As the arthavāda-portion of a brāhmaṇa-text has a value all its own it should be kept apart as a subject of special study. In this respect I agree to Prof. Heesterman's having separated the 'annotations' from the descriptive part of his book. Though the Kāṭhakam (KS XV. 1-10) is regularly referred to, as the occasion may demand, no attempt at the reconstruction of the rājasūya according to the Kāṭha-school out of the sūtra-elements of the Saṁhitā has been made here.

Whenever the Mān. or the Vār., differing from the MS or in absence of a vidhi in the latter, follows a precept similar to that of the Taittirīyakas or Vājasaneyins, the relevant passages are cited by way of reference. In the same way special attention is accorded when any Śrautasūtra of the Taittirīya- or Vājasaneyi-sākhā shows a remarkable agreement with a precept found in one of the Caraka-texts. In case, however, a positive evidence is lacking I have refrained from stating that a certain precept of a given text of one sākhā rests upon or derives itself from such and such a text of another sākhā since we know, taking into account a vast amount of lost Vedic texts, very little about the historical relations between the main sākhās and carāṇas. Further, as I do not intend to present here a comparative study of all the ritual texts, those materials which have no direct bearing on the Maitrāyaṇiya-texts have been as a rule put aside.

It is really a happy omen for the study of the Vedic ritual that the publication of the Śrautakośa (ŚK) by Dr. C. G. Kashikar and Prof. R. N. Dandekar is making good progress. I hope that my notes may prove useful even after the rājasūya-section will have been treated in that colossal work.

N. B. Abbreviations: besides those indicated above (Cal., G., H., ŚK, W.) all others are expected to be familiar to the students of the Vedic ritual. For making the sense clearer I have sometimes used new punctuation and dissolved the saṁdhi of the printed texts.

Mān. IX. 1. 1.

sū. 1. On the latter half s. W. p. 7-8, H. p. 7. For rājyakāma- cf. Vait. 43. 40: rājasūyah svārājyakāmasya, Śāṅkh. XV. 12. 1 (W. p. 8 cum n. 3, p. 28, p. 123 c. n. 3, cf. AB XIII. 6 (mantra), simil. 12, etc., W. p. 111-112 c. n. 3, p. 114, etc.), JB II. 196, l. 1-3 (cit. by Cal. ad Śāṅkh. l. c.).—Vār. III. 3. 1. 1 has simply rājño rājasūyah=Kāṭ. XV. 1. 1, cf. Lāṭ. IX. 1. 1: rājā rājasūyenā

yajeta.—A passage of the lost Śrautas. of the Kāthas¹⁾ cited by Deva ad Kāt. XV. 1. 5 reads: rājā rājasūyena yajeta rājāvijiti (ex conj. W. p. 8 c. n. 2, p. 28, p. 121, n. 2, ‘von (anderen) Königen unbesiegt’, cf. also Ind. St. IX, p. 318). Against Weber who preferred rājāvijiti to rājā vijiti (Aufrecht) also in AB VII. 18. 14=Āsv. IX. 3. 13, Śāṅkh. XV. 27. 1 (p. 196. 3–4, but Hillebrandt read rājāvi^o)²⁾, s. Keith AB p. 309, n. 12. While vijitin- ‘der gesiegt hat’ (Wackernagel-Debrunner Ai. Gr. II, 2, p. 339: § 215. f) is well attested, cf. also JB II. 25, l. 8: rājani vijitini, we are by no means obliged to assume *avijitin-. If the Kāth. fragment cited above were to be construed as one sentence the repetition of rājā would seem pleonastic. Perhaps rājā vi^o must have belonged to the next sūtra.

n. 1. Sūryakānta: Kāthaka-saṅkalanam, Lahore 1943 was not accessible to me.

n. 2. Vishva Bandhu: A Ved. Word-Conc. (IV. I) records this passage only for avijitin-.

sū. 2. bhārgavo hotā. W. p. 8, p. 27–28, p. 129 c. n. 1, H. p. 181 c. n. 12, p. 189.—The precept depends on MS IV. 3. 9: yad bh^o h^o bhavati (p. 49. 6; cf. ib. 4 sqq.: PB XVIII. 9. 1, Cal. n. 2 ad loc.), but this passage of the Saṅkh. seems to refer to the daśapeya in the same way as TS I. 8. 18. 1: bh^o h^o bhavati=TB I. 8. 2. 5, Baudh. XII. 18: p. 114. 11, JB II. 201, l. 3–4, PB XVIII. 9. 2. So clearly Vār. III. 3. 1. 59 (sec. half): bh^o h^o daśapeye. For Mān. l. c., however, cf. Śāṅkh. XV. 12. 2 (Cal. n. 1) and Kāt. XV. 4. 1. On this point there must have been a disagreement among the ritualists, cf. Baudh. XXII. 20: p. 145. 5–7, H. p. 181, n. 12, whence a discrepancy between the Mānavas and the Vārāhas. The Kāthas seem to have sided with the Mānavas, cf. sarvasminn api rājasūye bhārgava eva hoteti Kātha-Maitrasūtrayor asti (Deva ad Kāt. XV. 1. 5, W. p. 28).

sū. 3–4. The introductory agniṣṭoma. W. p. 9–10, H. p. 12, p. 12–14 (annotations).—For the last part of sū. 3, cf. MS IV. 4. 10: agniṣṭomam agre jyotiṣṭomam āharati (p. 61. 6), simil. TB I. 8. 7. 1, PB XVIII. 8. 1 (Cal. n. 1). The MS, though not providing for a definite time of this soma-sacrifice, prescribes that it should be triṣṭoma (p. 61. 7–11, H. p. 12), while it is said to be catuhṣṭoma according to Baudh. XII. 1: p. 85. 4 (cf. XVIII. 34, Cal. n. 1 ad PB XIX. 5. 1 and p. 507, n. 1), simil. Śāṅkh. XV. 12. 4 (cf. XIV. 51. 3, XIV. 61), W. p. 9.—On the peculiarity of the time regulation of the Mānavas, s. W. p. 10, cf. Vār. III. 3. 1. 2: the agniṣṭoma takes place on the full-moon day of Caitra, seven days before the succeeding Anumati-iṣṭi, Kāt.: yā caityrāḥ pūrvāmāvāsyā tasyām agniṣṭomāya dīkṣate (Deva ad Kāt. XV. 1. 5, W. p. 10). For other schools s. H. p. 8–10.

sū. 5–17. The iṣṭis for Anumati and Nirṛti, and the kṣetriyasya aveṣṭi. W. p. 10–12, H. p. 15–17, p. 17–20 (annot.). On the whole the passage corresponds to Vār. III. 3. 1. 3–12.

sū. 5. Cf. MS II. 6. 1: anumatyā aṣṭākapālāṁ nirvapati (p. 64. 1)=Vār. sū. 3, KS XV. 1: anumatyā aṣṭākapālaḥ (p. 209. 14),—Though not mentioned by both sūtrakāras, the nirvapaṇa of the purodāśas for Anumati and Nirṛti is performed jointly (saha nirvapanti) according to MS IV. 3. 1: p. 39. 1-2.

sū. 6-7. On the meaning of adhvapana-'strewing on (the nether grindstone)' G. referring to I. 2. 2. 28, s. H. p. 60, n. 9. adhi-vap-'ausstreuen' in this technical sense is found already in TS I. 1. 6. f (: Āp. I. 21. 5).—For the use of the śamyā, cf. Hillebr. NVO p. 36, p. 37, n. 1 (read rather Hir. I. 5: p. 131. 13 and add Mān. I. 2. 2. 27, Vār. I. 2. 4. 63, Vaikh. IV. 8: p. 47. 6). It is expressly prescribed by the Mānavas to make the remaining, that is, visible part of the sō parallel to the dṛṣad, cf. G.: "...he shall make the (thick) end of the peg level with the nether grindstone."

sū. 8=MS II. 6. 1: p. 64. 1-2, Vār. sū. 4, cf. KS XV. 1: ye pratyāñcaś śamyām atiśiyante, sa nairṛta ekakapālo bhavati (p. 209. 14-15).—ati-śi- 'hinaus-fallen über (Acc.)' pw sub 1. sī-, śiyate; simil. infra sū. 17, in contrast with ava-śi- of the other schools: TS I. 8. 11, TB I. 6. 1. 1, Baudh. XII. 1: p. 85. 9, 10 (cf. avaśātayantī ib. 8), Āp. XVIII. 8. 12 (cf. avaśātayati ib. 11), Hir. XIII. 3. 14, 24 (cf. ib. 13=Āp. 11), ŚB V. 2. 3. 2 (cf. haviśyaśannam Kāt. XV. 1 10); exceptionally avaśiyante KS XV. 1: p. 210. 2.

sū. 9. The second sentence: ubhau saha śrtau kurvanti=MS II. 6. 1: p. 64. 2=IV. 3. 1: p. 39. 2-3=Vār. sū. 5=KS XV. 1: p. 209. 15 (tā ubhau etc.).—Vār. sū. 6: nairṛtena pūrveṇa pracaranti=MS II. ib.=IV. l. c.: p. 39. 3=KS l. c.: p. 209. 15-16 (taylor nair° etc.), cf. also TB I. 6. 1. 1, has no corresponding sū. in Mān. as it is self-evident from the order of the actions prescribed in the following.

sū. 11. On a libation of ghee performed on going out of the sacrificial place and another one on coming back there (infra sū. 14) s. H. p. 16 c. n. 5. From the fact that the mantra used is found in TS I. 8. 1. 1, the arthavāda is given in TB I. 6. 1. 1-2 (yad ahutvā gārhapatya iyuḥ etc., Cal. n. 1 ad Āp XVIII. 8. 16) and the act is prescribed by all the Taitt. Sūtras: Baudh. XII. 1: p. 85. 13-14 (gārhapatye), Āp. XVIII. 8. 16, Hir. XIII. 3. 17, one may safely assume that the usage originated from the Taitt. school. It is, however, strange that for the occasion of departure the Mānavas specify the use of the dakṣiṇāgnī instead of the gārhapatya against the Vārāhas (gārhapatye hutvā sū. 7) and the Taittiriyakas.—juṣam juhoti "he offers a pleasing (ghee libation)" G., juṣam ju°=juṣam ju°? Hardly juṣam=alaṁjuṣam 'sufficiently' (ŚB III. 8. 5. 8).

sū. 12=MS II. 6. 1: p. 64. 3-4 (without iti juhoti at the end)=IV. 3. 1: p. 39. 3, 5-6 (do.), cf. Vār. sū. 7, reading nairṛtaṁ juhoty aṅguṣṭhābhyaṁ vā before the mantra; KS XV. 1: p. 209. 16-p. 210. 2 as MS ll. cc.—The same phrase up to ekolmukarṇi nidhāya recurs below sū. 23 and 37 (: Vār. sū. 18 and

36). ekolmuka- often used in the Śrautasūtras, means ‘a single firebrand,’ or, accord. to Cal., ‘ein an einer Seite brennendes Scheit’ (Āp. XVIII. 8. 16).—On visraṁsikā- s. Cal. n. 3 ad Āp. XVIII. 8. 17, H. p. 16, n. 8.—The addition; aṅguṣṭhābhyaṁ vā in Vār. has its parallel in the Taitt. texts. The Carakas must have originally employed two visraṁsikā-sticks, while the use of the thumbs should be attributed to the Taittiriyakas. The process of eclecticism is clearly discernable from the following excerpts: TB I. 6. 1. 3: eṣa te nirṛte bhāga(h) ...ity [TS I. 8. 1. 1] āha, ...aṅguṣṭhābhyaṁ juhoti, Baudh. XII. 1: p. 86. 1, cf. XX. 16: sūtrāṇi Baudhāyanasya. visraṁsikā-kāṇḍābhyaṁ enāṁ (viz. nairṛtam) parigrhya juhuyād iti Śālikih (p. 139. 19-20); Āp. XVIII. 8. 17: aṅguṣṭhābhyaṁ visraṁsikā-kāṇḍābhyaṁ vā, Hir. XIII. 3. 19: visraṁsikāyāḥ kāṇḍābhyaṁ with the same mantra as used by the Mānavas and Vārāhas. 20: aṅguṣṭhābhyaṁ eṣa te...iti [TS I. c.] vā.

sū. 13. vāsaḥ kṛṣṇam bhinnāntam dakṣinā=MS II. 6. 1: p. 64. 4-5=IV. 3. 1: p. 39. 6=Vār. sū. 8=KS XV. 1: p. 210. 2 (*without kṛṣṇam*): TS I. 8. 1. 1 and TB I. 6. 1. 4: kṛṣṇam vāsaḥ kṛṣṇatūṣam dakṣinā, followed by Baudh. XII. 1: p. 85. 12, p. 86. 3, Āp. XVIII. 8. 18 ('ein schwarzes Kleid mit schwarzen Fransen' Cal.). 19: kṛṣṇam vāso bhinnāntam ity eke, 'ein schwarzes Kleid mit ausgefransten Enden nach einigen' Cal. who deems *eke* as the Kaṭhas or the Mānavas and regards bhinnānta- as almost synonymous with kṛṣṇatūṣa-. Taken exactly bhinnānta- may mean 'with the edge of cloth being left fringy' and kṛṣṇatūṣa- 'with a black fringe attached to the border of cloth'. Cf. also Hir. XIII. 3. 21: vāso bhinnāntam (read so for 'bhinnā° ed.) dakṣinā (=KS I. c.), kṛṣṇam vāsaḥ kṛṣṇadaśam (*add vā*), °daśam=°tūṣam, cf. Baudh. XXVI. 1: p. 270. 5-6.

sū. 14=Vār. sū. 9, cf. supra Mān. sū. 11: Vār. sū. 7. This time there is no discrepancy between these texts, both reading:...iti [TS I. 8. 1. 1] gārhapatye hutvā, cf. also TB I. 6. 1. 4, Baudh. XII. 1: p. 85. 5-6, Āp. XVIII. 9. 1, Hir. XIII. 3. 23.—ānumatena pracaranti: MS II. 6. 1: punar etyānumatyā aṣṭākapālena pracarati (p. 64. 5)=IV. 3. 1: p. 39. 8-9, aṣṭākapālo bhavati (ib. 10).

sū. 15. Cf. MS II. 6. 1: dhenur dakṣinā (p. 64. 5-6)=IV. 3. 1: p. 39. 10-11 =Vār. sū. 10=KS XV. 1: p. 209. 14.

sū. 17. Ritual removing (aveṣṭi) of the hereditary disease (kṣetriya). W. p. 12, H. p. 17, p. 18-20.—Cf. MS II. 6. 1: atha ya udañcaḥ śamyām atiśiyante (s. supra sū. 8), tān udañ (so also Vār.: udak Mān., KS) paretya valmikavapām udrujya (so also Vār.: uddhṛtya Mān., KS) juhuyāt: idam aham amuṣyāmuṣyāyaṇasya kṣetriyam avayaje. tat punar apidadhāti: idam a° a° k° apidadhāmi (p. 64. 6-8)=IV. 3. 1: p. 39. 11-13; simil. Vār. sū. 11 (*after udrujya*: śuktyābhijuhoti: idam ...iti. 12: tenaiva loṣṭenāpidadhāti: idam ...iti), KS XV. 1: p. 210. 2-4.—The use of a shell for this offering (Vār.) is not found in Mān., cf. Āp. XVIII.

18. 13: śuktyā... hutvā (s. Cal. n.), Hir. XIII. 3. 24: s°... juhoti, but its use for obturating the hole is peculiar to Āp. I. c. (cf. also ib. 14) and Hir. I. c. (śuktyā valmīkavapayā vā).

sū. 18. A series of iṣṭis. W. p. 12–13, H. p. 21 (table), p. 22–23 (annot).—yathāmnātam refers to MS II. 6. 1: (1) śvo bhūta ādityebhyo bhuvadvadbhyo ghṛte carur, varo dakṣinā (p. 64. 8–9)=IV. 3. 1: p. 39. 15–16, 18; (2) śvo bhūta āgnāvaiṣṇava ekādaśakapālo, 'naḍvān vāmano d° (p. 64. 9–10)=IV. 3. 1: p. 39. 19, p. 40. 4; (3) śvo bhūte 'gniṣomiyā ekādaśakapālo, hiraṇyam d° (p. 64. 10–11)=IV. 3. 1: p. 40. 5, 7; (4) śvo bhūta aindrāgna ekādaśakapālo, 'naḍvān ṛṣabho d° (p. 64. 11–12)=IV. 3. 1: p. 40. 8, 12; (5) śvo bhūta āgneyo 'ṣṭākapālo, māhendram dadhi, vāsaḥ kṣaumariṇ d° (p. 64. 12–13)=IV. 3. 1: p. 40. 13, 20. In the same order but without śvo bhūte KS XV. 1: p. 210. 4–8. Vār. III. 3. 1. 13: śvo bhūta ā° bhuvadvadbhya iti (printed as if it were a mantra) ghṛte caruh. 14: pañcāgrāyaṇa° needs an emendation. Read perhaps:... bhuvadvadbhyo ghṛte curur iti (s. supra no. 1) pañca. 14: āgrāyaṇa°. Strange that TB I. 6. 1. 7 prescribes the deity of the fourth offering as aindrāgna- in agreement with MS and KS against TS I. 8. 1. 2 (aindrāgna-ekādaśakapālam) followed by Baudh. XII. 2: p. 86. 11.

sū. 19. Āgrāyaṇeṣṭi. W. p. 13, H. p. 23–24, p. 24–26 (annot). Without entering on the details of the independent ā°¹ I confine my remarks to that which forms a part of the rājasūya.—MS II. 6. 2: (1) aindrāgnam ekādaśakapālāṇi nirvaped āgnendram vā (p. 64. 14); (2) vaiśvadevaś caruh (do.); (3) saumyaḥ śyāmākaś carur (ib. 14–15); (4) dyāvāpṛthivīyā ekakapālo (ib. 15); vatsaḥ prathamajo dakṣinā (do.).² Simil. but in a different order, KS XV. 1: (1) saumyaś śyāmākaś carur (p. 210. 8); babhruḥ piṅgalo dakṣinā (do.); (2) aindrāgno dvādaśakapālo (ib. 8–9); (3) vaiśvadevaś carur (ib. 9); (4) dyāvāpṛthivīya ekakapālo (do.); vatsaḥ prathamajo d° (ib. 9–10).—With variations in details, MS IV. 3. 2: (1) tasmād aindrāgnam, atho āhur āgnendram kāryam iti (p. 41. 3), āgrāyaṇo dvādaśakapālo bhavati (ib. 4); saptadaśa sāmidheniḥ kāryaḥ (ib. 5); (2) etena payasi syād vaiśvadevaḥ (sc. caruh) (ib. 9–10; (3)... yad dyāvāpṛthivīyaḥ (sc. ekakapālah) (ib. 12–13); (4) tasyā (sc. somasya) vā eṣa bhāgo yad akṛṣṭapacyam (ib. 13–14), yāḥ phālakṛṣṭā tāsām etenāgrāyaṇam karoti, yā āraṇyās tāsām uttareṇa (i. e. saumya-caru) (ib. 16–17); vatsaḥ prathamajo dakṣinā (ib. 20). Simil. KS XII. 7: p. 169. 6–8 (s. ŠK I, p. 315).—For the difference between ekādaśakapāla (puroḍāśa) for Indra and Agni or Agni and Indra (MS II. 6. 2) and dvādaśak° (MS IV. 3. 2, KS XV. 1 and XII. 7: p. 169. 7), cf. TS I. 8. 1. 2 (dvādaśak°), ŠB V. 2. 3. 8: TB I. 6. 1. 7 (ekādaśak°).—On the number 17 of the sāmidhenis (MS IV. 3. 2), cf. KS XII. 7: saptadaśa sāmidhenyo bhavanti (p. 169. 8), s. Cal. n. ad Āp. VI. 29. 6.—On a separate position of the offering for Soma and a separate dakṣinā for it s. H. p. 24, cf. above the mention of a babhru- piṅgalā- in KS XV. 1, s. also Mān. I. 6. 4. 28, Vār. I. 5. 5. 2.—For the meaning of

vatsa- prathamaja-, s. Cal. n. ad Āp. VI. 30. 7, H. p. 24, n. 38.

n. 1. S. ŠK I, Skt. sect. p. 313–9, Engl. tr., pt. I, p. 502–515, cf. Hillebr. Rit.-litt. § 65: p. 119–120. The reason why the ā° is to be incorporated is explained in MS IV 3. 2: p. 41. 19–20, KS XII. 7: sarvasmai vā eṣo 'bhiṣīcyate, yad āgrāyaṇam rājasūye bhavaty, annam evāvaraṇḍdhe (p. 169, 18–19).

n. 2. On śirām etc. (ib. 16) s. below on the śunāśīrya.

On Vār. III. 3. 1. 14: āgrāyaṇaśaṣṭhyai (ed. ex conj.; for the separation of pañca from this sū. cf. supra ad sū. 13) sarasvatyai caruḥ, sarasvate dvādaśakapāla iti, mithunau gāvau dakṣinā. If the reading is correct, the sū. must mean: “For Sarasvatī who has the āgrāyaṇa as the sixth a caru (is offered), and for Sarasvat a 12-kapāla purodāśa; the dakṣinā is a pair of oxen,” that is, after five iṣṭis mentioned in sū. 13 comes the ā° as the sixth and then follow the offerings for Sarasvatī and Sarasvat. Though mentioned neither in MS nor in Mān., the last two iṣṭis are prescribed in all the Taitt. texts (s. H. p. 21 and p. 23 under g): TS I. 8. 1. 1: sarasvatyai caruṁ, sarasvate carum, mithunau gāvau d°, TB I. 6. 1. 11, Baudh. XII. 2: caturdaše 'hni sarasvatyai caruṁ, vatsatariṁ dadāty, atha pañcadaše 'hni sarasvate caruṁ, vatsataram dadāti (p. 86. 13–14), Hir. XIII. 3. 26, Āp. XVIII, 9. 3 (cf. Cal. n. 1 ad loc).—dvādaśakapāla-(Vār.) instead of a caru for Sarasvat has its parallel in the anvārambhaṇiya-iṣṭi (Hillebr. NVO p. 185–6, Rit.-litt. p. 114): MS I. 4. 15: tat pūrṇamāsam ālabhamānaḥ sarasvatyai caruṁ nirvapet, sarasvate dvādaśakapālam (p. 65. 2–3), Mān. I. 5. 6. 19, Vār. I. 4. 4. 43, cf. TS III. 5. 1. 4 (for the order of the two offerings cf. ib. 3–4; in a reverse order in a different context JB II: p. 198, l. 1–6), Baudh. II. 21: p. 68. 10–12, Bhār. V. 15. 10, Āp, V. 23. 5, Hir. III. 5: p. 326, Vaikh. I. 17: p. 18. 15–16; Kāt. IV. 5. 22 at variance with ŚB XI. 2. 4. 9.

sū. 20. Cāturmāsyāni. W. p. 13–14, H. p. 27–28, p. 28–29 (annot.).—The sū. refers succinctly to the fourmonthly sacrifices begun on the full-moon day and completed in a year, cf. MS IV. 3. 3: athaitāni cāturmāsyāni, saṁvatsaram vai cāturmāsyāni parijyante (p. 42. 1), Vār. III. 3. 1. 15: saṁvatsaram cāturmāsyair yathāvihitam iṣṭvā.—As for the independent treatment of the seasonal sacrifices by the Carakas, s. MS I. 10. 1–20, Mān. I. 7, Vār. I. 7, KS IX. 4–7, XXXV. 20, XXXVI. 1–14, KapS VIII. 7–10, XLVIII. 18, cf. further ŠK I, Skt. sect., p. 486–555, Engl. tr., pt. II, p. 646–767, Hillebr. Rit.-litt. § 65: p. 115–9.

On the śunāśīrya. W. p. 14, Ind. St. X, p. 342–3, H. p. 27, p. 30, p. 33–34 (annot.), ŠK I, p. 533–6, p. 554–5, Engl. tr. p. 759–765, Hillebr. Rit.-litt. p. 119. —Both Śrautas. do not mention it in connection with the rājasūya, but cf. MS IV. 3. 3: yañ śunāśīryam, (tān [sc. paśūn] evāpnoti) (p. 42. 4), varṣya udake yajeta, (etad dhi paśūnām nedhiṣṭham) (ib. 4–5); athaitāni pañca havīṁsi saṁtatyai grāmakāmo yajeta (ib. 5–6),... yad vāyave, (vāyur evāsmai nasyotām viśāṁ ninayati) (ib. 7); annakāmo yajeta, (saṁvatsaro vā indraḥ śunāśīraḥ, saṁvatsaro 'nnādyasya pradātā, ... so 'smā annādyām prayacchati) (ib. 7–9), varṣya udake

yajeta, (etad dhy annādyasya nedhiṣṭham) (ib. 9-10); vṛṣṭikāmo yajeta, (vāyur vā ime samirayati, sa āpyāyayati, tato varṣati, sarīvatsaro vā indraḥ śunāśirah, sarīvatsaram anu varṣati) (ib. 10-12). The vidhi-elements are scanty and fragmentary but the following points are worthy of note.

1. The śunāśirya is related to special wishes: grāmakāma-, annakāma-, vṛṣṭikāma-, cf. Vār. I. 7. 5. 6: śunāśiryeṇa grāmakāmo 'nnādyakāmo vṛṣṭikāmaḥ paśukāmaḥ svargakāmo vā. 7: udakam anvavasāya tasyodakārthān kurvita; Āp. VIII. 22. 5: śunāśiryeṇa grāmakāmo varṣya udake ('an einem Platz mit Regenwasser' Hillebr. 1. c., 'wenn das Regenwasser fällt' Cal.) yajeta. 6. varṣyam udakam anvavasāya (Comm.: yatra varṣasāmpannasalilas toyādhāras tatra gatvā) tata udakārthān kurute. 7: etenaiva prajākāmaḥ paśukāmaḥ puṣṭikāmo brahma-varcasakāmo 'nnādyakāmo vā yajeta; Hir. V. 6: p. 492: śunāśiryeṇa yajeta grāmakāmaḥ prajākāmaḥ paśukāmo vṛṣṭikāmo 'nnādyakāmo vā; varṣyam udakam anvavasāya (Comm.: varṣasu bhavarṇi varṣyam udakarṇi yatrāsti tatra gatvā) tata udakārthān kurvāṇaḥ; Bhār. VIII. 25. 6: śunāśiryeṇa (*sic*, against the Taitt. usage, but cf. v. l. °siryeṇa) grāmakāmo yajeta. 7: varṣyam udakam anvavasāya itenaiva prajākāmo yejeta paśukāmo yajetānnādyakāmo yajeta pratiṣṭhākāmo yajeteti vijñāyate.

2. The offerings are performed at a place with rain water (varṣya udake), s. sub 1.

3. By etāni pañca havīṁṣi are meant, of course, the ordinary five offerings for Agni, Soma, Savitṛ, Sarasvatī and Pūṣan, but the names of Vāyu and Indra śunāśira refer to the additional offerings peculiar to the śunāśirya, cf. MS I. 10. 1: āgneyo 'ṣṭākapālaḥ, saumyaś caruḥ, sāvitro 'ṣṭākapālaḥ, sārasvataś caruḥ, pauṣṇaś carur, vāyavyā yavāgūḥ pratidhug vā, indrāya śunāśirāya dvādaśakapālaḥ, saurya ekakapālaḥ (p. 141. 3-5), Mān. I. 7. 8. 3: āgneyo 'ṣṭākapāla itiprabhr̄tiny aṣṭau saurya ekakapāla ityantāni, Vār. I. 7. 5. 2: pañca sarīcarāṇi, vāyavyā... ekakapāla iti havīṁṣi, KS XV. 2: p. 210. 11-13, Āp. VIII. 20. 5. a (ekeśām, s. Cal. n.).

4. To the dakṣṇā for the śunāśirya refers certainly MS II. 6. 2: sīram dvādaśāyogaṁ dakṣinā, uṣṭāro vānaḍvān 'a plow to which twelve oxen are yoked or a (big) bull of draught' (p. 64. 16, unexpectedly inserted immediately after the precept of the dakṣinā for the āgrāyaṇa, s. above)=Mān. I. 7. 8. 5 (with dvādaśāyogaṁ)=Vār. I. 7. 5. 3 the first half, with the second half: ṣaḍyogaṁ sīram, uṣṭārau vānaḍvāhau; cf. KS XV. 2: uṣṭārau dakṣinā, sīram vā dvādaśāyogaṁ (p. 210. 3); Āp. VIII. 20. 9: dvādaśagavaṁ sīram dakṣinā (=TS I. 8. 7. a, TB I. 7. 1. 2). 10: ṣaḍyogaṁ vā (cf. Vār. I. c., Kāt. V. 11. 12). 11: uṣṭārāv ity ekeśām (cf. Vār. I. c., KS I. c., Kāt. ib. 13: uṣṭārau ¹ vā). 12: uṣṭāram vā (cf. MS I. c. cum suis). 13: aśvarām śvetam ekakapālasya gām vā. śvetam (cf. SB II. 6. 3. 9, Kāt. ib. 14); Bhār. VIII. 24. 9: dvādaśagavaṁ sīram ṣaḍgavaṁ

voṣṭārau voṣṭāram vā; Hir. VI. 8 (p. 547): ṣaḍgavarām dvādaśagavarām vā sīram, uṣṭārau voṣṭāram vānyataram ūnāśīriye, etc. (like Āp. sū. 13).—A stem uṣṭāra- is to be admitted by force of uṣṭārayoḥ MS II. 7. 12: p. 92. 15 (mantra) and uṣṭāro vānaḍvān cited above, s. Geldner ad RV X. 106. 2a, K. Hoffmann MSS 8 (1956), p. 8. uṣṭāra- means alone or together with anaḍvāh- ‘Zugstier, Pflugstier’, and this is perhaps the earliest instance for the thermatization -tāra- (-tr-), cf. Ai. Gr. II, 2, p. 142 (§ 43), III, p. 320 (§ 162. a), Edgerton BHS Gr. § 13. 19 (p. 91).

n. 1. Read so for oṣṭārau ed.; originally perhaps . . . dakṣinā voṣṭārau vā, then corrupted to . . . dakṣinā (sū. 12), oṣṭārau vā (sū. 13), cf. Comm.: voḍhārau mahāntau balivardau.

sū. 21. Indraturiya. W. p. 16, H. p. 30—31, p. 34—37 (annot.).—āgneyo 'ṣṭākapālo etc.=MS II. 6. 3: p. 64. 17—18, presupposed by Vār. III. 3. 1. 15. Simil. KS XV. 2: p. 210. 13—15 with slight differences: vāruṇo yavamayo daśakapālaḥ instead of caruh and aindram sānnāyyam inst. of dadhi.—Special applications of the indraturiya are mentioned in MS IV. 3. 4: (1) etenaiva yājayet sarīgrāme, jayati sarīgrāmam (p. 43. 3; cf. H. p. 33, p. 40, n. 44); (2) etenaiva yājayed bhrātṛvyavantaram, yo vāsyā priyah syāt tam, bhavaty ātmanā, parāsyā bhrātṛvyo bhavati (p. 43. 3—5); dhenur anaḍvāhī dakṣinā (ib. 5—6)=II. 6. 3: p. 64. 18. For obtaining victory (1) cf. Hir. XIII. 3. 30 (the third phrase): tat sarīgrāme nirvapet. For conquering a rival (2) cf. Āp. XVIII. 9. 9: tayā bhrātṛvyavantaram priyam vā yājayet (s. Cal. n.).

sū. 22—24. Apāmārgahoma. W. p. 17—18, H. p. 32—33, p. 39—40 (annot.).—Apart from the precept for the time of the offering the passage agrees with MS II. 6. 3: apāṁ nyayanād apāmārgān āharanti (up to here=IV. 3. 4: p. 43. 13—14), tānt saktūn kṛtvā, dakṣinā paretya svakṛta irīṇā ekolmukarām nidhāya parṇamayena sruvena juhoti: devasya tvā savituh prasave . . . rakṣohāsi svāhā (p. 64. 18—p. 65. 3); hatarām rakṣo 'vadhiṣma rakṣaḥ (p. 65. 3—4); varo dakṣinā (ib. 4=IV. 3. 4: p. 43. 15). Simil. Vār. III. 3. 1. 17—20, KS XV. 2: p. 210. 15—18.

sū. 22. From the beginning up to kṛtvā (kurvanti KS)=Āp. XVIII. 9. 16 (with āhṛtya for āharanti; Cal. n. 1) and Hir. XIII. 3. 33 (do.)—The time of the offering is defined as ‘on the day succeeding the indraturiya before sunrise (śvobhūte prāg udayāt)’. Cf. Āp. XVIII. 9. 15: vyuṣṭāyām purāgnihotrād apāmārgahomena caranti, alluded to in TB I. 7. 1. 7: vyuṣṭāśit. anuditah sūryaḥ: na vā etad divā na naktam. tasyaitasmīl loke (= °min kāle? ‘zu diesem Zeitpunkte’ Cal.), s. Cal. n. ad Āp. I. c., H. p. 32 c. n. 6, p. 40.—For the relative order of the apāmārga and the pañcedhmiya s. below.

sū. 23. (first half). dakṣinā paretya . . . nidhāya (ekolmukarām nidhāya lacking in KS)=supra sū. 12. For the direction cf. Cal. n. 1 ad Āp. XVIII. 9. 17 (read there: ŠBr.: O. oder N. instead of W. accord. to ŠB V. 2. 4. 15, Kāt. XV. 2. 3 and 4, W. p. 17), H. p. 32, n. 8.

sū. 24. anūpatiṣṭhate: anunigadati Vār. III. 3. 1. 19. Cf. Baudh. XII. 4:

p. 89. 12, Āp. XVIII. 9. 18 (Cal. n. 2) and Hir. XIII. 3. 32 with upatiṣṭhate.—Dakṣinā: varah (=MS, KS): vāsaḥ Vār. ib. 20 agreeing with TS I. 8. 7. h: yad vaste tad dakṣinā, TB I. 7. 1. 9, Baudh. XII. 4: p. 89. 12-13; eclectically Āp. XVIII. 9. 19 (Cal. n. 2) and Hir. XIII. 3. 35, s. H. p. 32, p. 40.

sū. 25-29. Pañcedhmīya. W. p. 14-16, H. p. 31-32, p. 37-39 (annot.), cf. also p. 153 c. n. 65.—The same appellation is used by Baudh. XII. 4: p. 88. 17, Āp. XVIII. 9. 10, Hir. XIII. 3. 31, 36 in contrast with pañcavattiya TB I. 7. 1. 5, pañcavātīya ŚB V. 2. 4. 4, 9, Kāt. XV. 1. 20; s. W. p. 14, Cal. n. ad Āp. I. c., H. p. 31, n. 3.—At variance with the order: apāmārga—pañcedhmīya (MS, Mān., KS) the latter is performed first and then the apām. accord. to the Taittirīyakas while the Vājasaneyins adopt the order: pañcav.—indraturiya—apām. The matter becomes complicated as Vār. III. 3. 1. 16 introduces both iṣṭis with the words: sāyam pañcedhmīyena followed by the precepts for the apām. (ib. 17-20) and those for the pañcedh. (ib. 21-24). Similarly Hir. XIII. 3. 31: etasyā eva rātrer niśayām pañcedhmīyena yajeta (=Āp. XVIII. 9. 10 with yajate) stands before the rules concerning the apām. (ib. 32-36 beg.) and the pañcedh (ib. 36-37). Cf. H. p. 32, n. 6: “The meaning of this arrangement is probably that the apāmārgahoma in this version forms part of the pañcedhmīya”. The Vārāhas, accordingly, must have performed in the evening (sāyam) of the day succeeding the indrat. both the apām. and the pañcedh. in this order, while the Mānavas perform only the pañcedh. in the very same evening, cf. above on Mān. sū. 22. According to the view of the Hiranyakeśins both the pañcedh. and the apām. (in reality performed in the inverted order) should take place in the night belonging to the day on which the indrat. is performed (Hir. XIII. 3. 31 cited above and 32: niśayām) while the preparation of the apām. begins already in the evening after the agnihotra (sāyam hute ‘gnihotre ib. 33). On the other hand, accord. to Āp. XVIII. 9. 15 (cited above under Mān. sū. 22) the apām., following the pañcedh., takes place in the dawn of the succeeding day before the morning agnihotra.

sū. 25. Cf. Vār. III. 3. 1. 16 (cited above) and 21.

sū. 26. Cf. Vār. ib. 22. The mantras are given MS II. 6. 3: p. 65. 4-10 (the first one being repeated IV. 3. 4: p. 43. 16-17); with slight var.=KS XV. 2: p. 210. 19—p. 211. 1.—anuparikrāmam rests on MS IV. 3. 4: p. 43. 18.

sū. 27. Cf. Vār. ib. 23. The mantra for the pushing together of the fires: idam aham rakṣo ’bhisamūhāmi etc. is found MS II. 6. 3: p. 65. 10-11, IV. 3. 4: p. 43. 19-20 (read as above for rakṣobhiḥ samū° ed., s. also Ved. Conc.), KS XV. 2: p. 211. 2, and the mantras for the offerings are given MS II. 6. 3: p. 65. 11-13, with slight var. KS I. c.: p. 211. 2-5.—samūhya (also Vār.): MS IV. 3. 4: atha yat punah samūhya juhoti (p. 43. 20—p. 44. 1).

sū. 28. (dakṣinā)=MS II. 6. 3: p. 65. 13-14, IV. 3. 4: p. 44. 2, Vār. III.

3. 1. 24, KS XV. 2: p. 211. 5-6; optionally allowed Āp. XVIII. 9. 13: praśṭivāhi ratho dakṣinā (TS I. 8. 7. f, TB I. 7. 1. 5, Baudh. XII. 4: p. 89. 5) pañcavāhi vā (Cal. n. 2), simil. but in the inverted order Hir. XIII. 3. 36 end.

sū. 29. For an independent use of the pañcedh. cf. H. p. 33 beg., p. 38. Simil. but without amāvāsyāyām Āp. XVIII. 9. 14 (Cal. n.), Hir. XIII. 3. 37 ending with tam (*read tac?*) ca yat kāmayate tad dadyāt.

sū. 30. Devikāhavīṁśi. W. p. 18 c. n. 2, H. p. 41-42, p. 43-45 (annot.). —Read: śvobhūte devikāḥ (briefly for devikāhavīṁśi) paṣṭhauhī dakṣinā instead of devikāpa° ed.—The five offerings are enumerated MS II. 6. 4: anumat�ai carū, rākāyai caruḥ, sinivalyai caruḥ, kuhvai carur, dhātre dvādaśakapālah (p. 65. 15-16)=KS XV. 3: p. 211. 7-8, alluded to by Vār. III. 3. 1. 25: ābhīr iṣṭibhir yajate 'numatyai carur iti pañca devikāhavīṁśi. 26: madhye caruṇām (?) inst. of madhye carum ex conj. for caruṇā ms., s. ed. p. 117, n. 2, though *madhyecaru could mean madhye caruṇām accord. to Pāṇ. II. 1. 18) dhātre nirvapaty ante yā; on the position of the puroḍ. for Dhāṭṛ connected with wishes s. below.—The puronuv. and yājyās: MS IV. 12. 6: p. 194. 15—p. 195. 15 (those for Dhāṭṛ taking the last place), cf. KS XIII. 16: p. 199. 13—p. 200. 10 (do.), TS III. 3. 11. g-u (those for Dhāṭṛ taking the first place): TS I. 8. 8. 1, TB I. 7. 2. 1, followed by Āp. XVIII. 10. 2, Hir. XIII. 3. 39; differently Baudh. XII. 4: p. 89. 14 end—p. 90. 3.—paṣṭhauhī prescribed as dakṣinā, meaning ‘a cow five years old’ (Renou, s. lastly Mayrhofer Etymol. Wb. sub paṣṭhavāṭ), rests on MS II. 6. 4: p. 65. 16, IV. 3. 5: p. 44. 7, cf. KS XV. 3: p. 211. 8 and XII. 8: p. 170. 5 with the addition of apravitā ‘not impregnated,’ for which cf. Hir. XIII. 3. 40: pravitāpraviyamāṇety ekeśām, that is, °tā-apra° (inst. of °tā pra° ed.) meaning ‘a cow that has been impregnated once and since then has not been impregnated again’ accord. to H. p. 41, n. 2.—This is not the only occasion for the devikāhavīṁśi which are offered also at the end of the rājasūya: Mān. IX. 1. 5. 49, Vār. III. 3. 4. 50, cf. MS IV. 3. 5: rājasūyenābhisiṣicānam yājyet (p. 44. 6-7), H. p. 44, n. 22, for his interpretation, however, s. p. 44-45, p. 48 beg. Moreover MS IV. 3. 5-6 (: KS XII. 8, TS III. 4. 9) prescribes many other occasions for them.

1. In connection with a soma-sacrifice. MS IV. 3. 5: somenejānam yājyet (p. 44. 4), ya eva kaś ca somena yajeta tam yājyet (p. 45. 13), simil. KS XII. 8: p. 171. 6⁽¹⁾. On the position of the d°-h° in the regular paradigm of the agniṣṭoma, that is, the nirvapaṇa for them taking place after that for the paśupuroḍ. of the anūbandhyā, s. Cal.-Henry § 257, H. p. 42, n. 5, cf. Mān. II. 5. 5. 11: paśupuroḍāśam anu devikāhavīṁśi nirvapaty, anumat�ai carū..., somasthāliṣu śrapayati, 12-15.⁽²⁾ To this point refers also MS IV. 3. 6: yāsu sthāliṣu somāḥ syus te caravah syuḥ (p. 45. 9-10), simil. KS XII. 8: p. 171. 2-3, Āp. XIII. 24. 3 (Cal. n., cf. XIII. 19. 6), Hir. IX. 6 (p. 953).

n. 1. Cf. also Baudh. XXII. 17: somam-somam anuvarterann iti Śālikih (p. 141. 5).—If TS III. 4. 9. 4: etā eva nirvaped ijānaś . . . uttamarāṁ dhātārāṁ karoti refers to the soma-sacrifice (Cal. n. ad Śāṅkh. IX. 28. 1), it is strange that the position of Dhātṛ is prescribed in contradiction to that in TS I. 8. 8. 1, TB I. 7. 2. 1 (s. above) while the young Taittiriyakas (s. the passages cited in the next note) adhere to the usual position of that deity.

n. 2. Cf. Baudh. VIII. 22: p. 263. 13-p. 264. 5 (cf. also XXI. 26: p. 116. 5-8), Bhār. XIV. 24. 15, Āp. XIII. 23. 17-24. 5 (Cal. notes), Hir. IX. 6 (p. 952-3), Vaikh. XVI. 27-28 (p. 236. 20-p. 237. 3); ŚB IX. 5. 1. 34-38 (with Dhātṛ in the first place), Kāt. XVIII. 6. 20 (cayana); KB XIX 7, Śāṅkh. IX. 28. 1-3 (with the order: Anumati, Kuhū, Rākā, Sinivalī and Dhātṛ), AB III. 47 (with the order: A°, R°, S°, Kuhū and Dhātṛ), Āśv. IV. 14. 15-16; Vait. XXIV. 13. b: vaśāpaśupuroḍāśād devikāhavīṁśi (read so accord. to Cal. ad loc.).

2. In company with a paśu for Dhātṛ. H. p. 42.—MS IV. 3. 6 (closely related to a kāmya for healing disease, s. below no. 3, c): paśur apy ālabhyah (śāntyā anirmārgāya) (p. 45. 4-5), yad vrīhimayah puroḍāśo bhavati, tenaiva paśur ālabhyate (ib. 5-6), KS XII. 8 (in the same context): paśum ālabheta (śāntyā anirmārgāya) (p. 170. 22); cf. Hir. XIII. 3. 42 end: āmayāvi paśunā yajeta; in the frame of the rājasūya but optionally Āp. XVIII. 10. 4: paśur apy atropalabhyo dhātre, na vā (Cal. n.).

3. As kāmya-offerings. H. p. 42.—MS IV. 3. 5-6, referred to by Vār. III. 3. 1. 27: paśukāmarāṁ yājayed iti brāhmaṇavyākhyātām; KS XII. 8; TS III. 4. 9, referred to by Āp. XIII. 24. 5: devikā nirvapet prajākāma iti kāmyāḥ

a. paśukāma. MS IV. 3. 5: paśukāmarāṁ yājayed (p. 44. 8); KS XII. 8: paśukāmo devikābhīr yajeta (p. 170. 6), yad dve avare dve pare (tan mithunārāṁ, yad dhātā vaṣṭākāras tan mithunārāṁ, tasmād evāsmai mithunāt paśūn prajanayati) (ib. 8-9), Dhātṛ is placed in the middle; TS III. 4. 9. 1: etā eva nirvapet paśukāmaś, . . . 2: . . . prathamarāṁ dhātārāṁ karoti, Hir. XIII. 3. 41.

b. prajākāma. MS IV. 3. 5: prajākāmāṁ yājayed (p. 44. 14), dhātāram uttamarāṁ kuryāt (ib. 14-15), vyavadadhyād dh° madhyataḥ (ib. 17; vy-ava-dhā-'dazwischenstellen'), atha dhātre purastān nirvapet (ib. 19); simil. KS XII. 8: prajākāmo devikābhīr yajeta, dh° uttamarāṁ kuryāt (p. 170. 13-14), vyavadadhyād dh° (ib. 16), dh° purastāt kṛtvāthaitārāṁ (i. e. for Anumati) nirvapet (ib. 18); TS III. 4. 9. 1: devikā nirvapet prajākāmaś, . . . prathamarāṁ dh° karoti, Hir. XIII. 3. 41, 42 mid.: prajākāmaḥ putrair dh° uttamarāṁ kṛtvā.

c. āmayāvin. MS IV. 3. 6: āmayāvinārāṁ yājayed, dh° madhyataḥ kuryāt (p. 45. 1); KS II. 8: āmayāvi devikābhīr yajeta, dh° madhye kuryāt (p. 170. 19); for the accompanying paśu s. above no. 2. TS III. 4. 9. 3: etā eva nirvapej jyogāmayāvi, . . . madhyato dh° karoti, Hir. XIII. 3. 42: āmayāvinārāṁ yājayed vā dh° madhyataḥ kṛtvā, . . . āmayāvi paśunā yajeta.

d. sarvavedasin. MS IV. 3. 6: sarvavedasejānarāṁ yājayed (p. 45. 10-11); KS XII. 8: sarvavedasī devikābhīr yajeta (p. 171. 3).

e. TS III. 4. 9 contains several other kāmyas, cf. Āp. XIII. 24. 5 (Cal. tr.).
sū. 31 and 32. Triśāmyukta. W. p. 18-19, H. p. 42, p. 45-46 (annot).—

The Mānavas prescribe only two rounds: the pūrva or vaiṣṇava and the uttara or pauṣṇa in complete agreement with MS II. 6. 4: āgnāvaiṣṇava ekādaśakapāla; aindrāvaiṣṇavaś carur, vaiṣṇavas trikapālo, vāmano dakṣiṇā (p. 65. 16-17); sau-māpauṣṇa (somā° Mān.) ekādaśakapāla, aindrāpauṣṇaś caruḥ, pauṣṇaś caruḥ, śyāmo dakṣiṇā (ib. 17—p. 66. 1), simil. KS XV. 3: p. 211. 11-27 but with a caru for Soma and Pūṣan (so also the Taittiriyakas) instead of an 11-kap. puroḍ. in the latter round, while the Vārāhas add, after the same two rounds (III. 3. 1. 28 and 29), the third or saumya-round (ib. 30): agniṣomiya ekādaśakapāla, [indrāsomiya ekādaśakapālah, supplied by the editors], saumyaś carur, babhrur, dakṣiṇeti tṛtiyam, in conformity with the Vājasaneyins as far as the number and order of the rounds are concerned: ŚB V. 2. 5. 1-12, Kāt. XV. 2. 11-17, and with the Taittiriyakas who, however, perform the saumya-round between the vaiṣṇava and the pauṣṇa: TS I. 8. 8. 1, TB I. 7. 2. 1-4, Baudh. XII. 4: p. 90. 4-9, Āp. XVIII. 10. 5, Hir. XIII. 3. 43-44.—śvobhūte (bis). Cf. ŚBK VII. 1. 3. 1, 2, 3 (repeated thrice), W. p. 19; Baudh. XII. 4: p. 90. 4, 6, 8: on the 11th, 12th and 13th day, Hir. XIII. 3. 43: anvaham, Cal. n. ad Āp. XVIII. 10. 5.—MS IV. 3. 7: p. 45. 17—p. 46. 9 adds nothing important to the ritual of the triṣāṁyuktā except the wishes to be combined with each round: yat pūrvam triṣāṁyuktām virajanānam tad, yad uttarām paśujanānam tat (p. 45. 19-20), yat pūrvam triṣō tena yajñakāmo yajeta (p. 46. 2), yad uttarām triṣō tena paśukāmo yajeta (ib. 5). Cf. Āp. XVIII. 10. 6: teṣāṁ prathamena grāmakāmo yajeta, dvitiyena brahma-varcasakāmaḥ, tṛtiyena paśukāmaḥ (Cal. n., better H. p. 42, n. 6). 7: virajanānam ity eke (Cal. n.); Hir XIII. 3. 44: (the vaiṣṇava-round) tena yajñakāmo yajeta, (the saumya-r.) virajanānam samāmananti, (the pauṣṇa-r.) tena paśukāmo yajeta.

A note on the bhūtānām aveṣṭayah.

Between the portions concerning the devikāhavīṁśi and the triṣāṁyuktām haviḥ KS XV. 3 (: p. 211. 3-11) inserts a series of mantras (13 in number)¹¹ to be employed for the aveṣṭi of the Bhūtas, cf. MS III. 12. 10: p. 163. 10-12, TS I. 8. 13. i, VS XXII. 29. The Taittiriyakas use these mantras on a different occasion, cf. TB I. 7. 7. 5 (after the explanation of the 12 pārthas): bhūtānām aveṣṭir juhoti, Baudh. XII. 11: athopariṣṭād abhiṣekasya ṣaṭ pārthāni juhoti, īdrāya svāhā, ghoṣāya svāheti [TS I. 8. 13. h sec. half], dvādaśa bhūtānām aveṣṭir juhoti: pṛthivyai svāhā, antariksāya svāheti [ib. i] (p. 102. 13-15), Āp. XVIII. 15. 9 (after the first six pārthas): pṛthivyai svāheti ṣaḍ bhūtānām aveṣṭih, 16. 1 (after the remaining six pārthas): adbhyāḥ svāheti ṣaḍ bh° aveṣṭih, simil. Hir. XIII. 5. 31 and 36; s. H. p. 65-66.

..... The corresponding mantras are used by the Maitrāyaṇiyas and the Vājasaneyins as part of the annahomas in the aśvamedha, Mān. IX. 2. 2. 30:... annena juhoty: agnaye svāhā, somāya svāhetiprabhṛtibhir aikaśatāt (*i. e.* ā eka° ‘up to

the mantra ekaśatāya svāhā; MS III. 12. 7-15), Vār. III. 4. 2. 5 and 6: MS ib. 6-15; in the same way ŚB XIII. 5. 1. 4, cf. also XIII. 2. 1. 1-6, Kāt. XX. 4. 32; s. Dumont L'Aśvamedha, p. 129.

n. 1. Beginning with pr̄thivyai svāhā and ending with sarisr̄pebhyas s°. In all the parallel passages the 10th mantra patayadbhyas s° is not found and the total number thirteen is not in harmony with the use of these mantras by the Taitiriyakas.

sū. 33. Offerings for Agni vaiśvānara and Varuṇa. W. p. 19, H. p. 43, p. 46-48 (annot).—The sūtra depends entirely on MS II. 6. 2: agnaye vaiśvānarāya dvādaśakapālo, vāruṇo yavamayaś caruḥ (p. 66. 1-2), hiran̄yan̄ cāśvaś ca dakṣiṇā (ib. 2). Simil. Vār. III. 3. 1. 31 and 32, cf. also KS XV. 3: p. 211. 13-14 indicating the dakṣiṇā separately after each havis.—The Mānavas and the Vārāhas treat the two offerings as samānatantu, cf. the collective designation vaiśvānaravāruṇam MS IV. 3. 7: p. 46. 9, while the Kāthas seem to regard them as two independent offerings. The same relation is observed between Baudh XII. 4: p. 90. 9-11 on one hand and on the other TS I. 8. 8. 1, TB I. 7. 2. 5-6 mentioning the dakṣiṇā separately in the same way as KS 1. c., so also Āp. XVIII. 10. 8-11 (Cal. n. 1 ad sū. 10), Hir. XIII. 3. 44 ending, however, with samānatantu vaiśvānaravāruṇāv eke samāmananti. According to the Vājasaneyins the two offerings can be performed either on two succeeding days (anūcīnāham ŚB V. 2. 5. 13, anvaham ŚBK VII. 1. 3. 4, svāḥ Kāt. XV. 2. 19) or as samānabarhis (ŚB(K) ll. cc., ekatantra Kāt. ib. 18); s. H. p. 43 c. n. 7.—The offerings take place also at the end of the rājaśūya, s. Mān. IX. 1. 5. 49, Vār. III. 3. 4. 50.—The size of the vāruṇa-caru is vaguely prescribed in MS IV. 3. 7: iyāṁś carur bhavati (p. 46. 14, 19-20) and repeated in Mān. 1. c., while it is defined concretely as prādeśamātra in Vār. III. 3. 1. 31, cf. Āp. XVIII. 10. 8 and Hir. XIII. 3. 44: sarvataḥ prādeśamātra- ‘in der Runde eine Spanne messend’ (Cal.).—Vār. III. 3. 1. 33: yo jyogāmayāvī vadhyeta (read perhaps yajeta): MS IV. 3. 7: yo jyogāmayāvī syāt tam etena yājayet (p. 46. 18).

sū. 34-38. Ratnīmāṁ havirñi. W. p. 19-26, H. p. 49-50 (with a table facing p. 49), p. 50-57 (annot.).

sū. 34. It refers to MS II. 6. 5: p. 66. 3-13 where the devatā, havis, ratnī and dakṣiṇā of each of the twelve ratnī-offerings are enumerated, a veritable sūtra-section to which corresponds IV. 3. 8: p. 47. 3—p. 48. 11 citing the passages of the former by means of *iti* (though sometimes omitted) and explaining them in the brāhmaṇa-fashion. Simil. Vār. III. 3. 1. 34 ending with ity ekādaśa (ex conj. for ekā dvādaśa ms., s. ed. p. 117, n. 7) ratnīnāḥ; on the number of the ratnīns s. below. Cf. KS XV. 4: p. 211. 15—p. 212. 4 containing a list of eleven ratnī-offerings after the fashion of MS II. 6. 5.

sū. 35. A general rule, cf. Āp. XVIII. 10. 13 (Cal. n.), Hir. XIII. 4. 3.—anvaham: TB I. 7. 3. 1-2, cf. also MS IV. 3. 8: yat saha nirvapeyur aratnīnāḥ syuḥ (p. 48. 10-11), H. p. 51.

sū. 36. end and 37. Corresponding to Vār. III. 3. 1. 36 (partly corrupt), the passage treats in particular the offering to Nirṛti, s. below no. 4 of the list.

sū. 38. Being apparently a repetition of the second ratni-havis the sūtra seems to rest on MS IV. 3. 8 (after the explanation of the ratnins): aindra ekādaśakapālo rājño gr̥ha iti (p. 48. 11), but this is nothing else than a citation (with *iti*) of the precept concerning the offering in the king's house (no. 2 of the list) and does not intend to add any new havis. No wonder, therefore, that there can be found a corresponding precept neither in Vār. nor in KS.

A list of the ratnīnām havīṁṣi.

As they have already been described and explained by W. and H., s. also Eggeling SBE XLI, p. 58, n. 2, Cal. n. 3 ad Āp. XVIII. 10. 12 and his notes on individual sūtras, suffice it to mention them briefly according to the statements of MS II. 6. 5 and IV. 3. 8 after the example of H.'s table. The order in the other śākhās is indicated by a number added to the abbreviations: K(āthas), T(aitt.) and V(ājas).

1. brahma—Br̥haspati—caru—śitipṛṣṭhaḥ (MS II: p. 66. 3, IV: p. 47. 6–7) : K 1 (XV. 4: p. 211. 15; purohita), T 1, V 2 (purohita), W. p. 23 c. n. 1, cf. Hir. XIII. 4. 4: purohitasyaikeśām.
2. rājan—Indra—11-kap. purod—ṛṣabhaḥ (II: ib. 3–4, IV: ib. 9): K 2 (ib. 15–16), T 2: rājanya, V 3 (sūyamāna ŚB, yajamāna Kāt.).
3. mahiṣi—Aditi—caru—dhenuḥ (II: ib. 4, IV: ib. 10, 11) : K 3 (ib. 16), T 3, V 4.
4. parivṛkti—Nirṛti—caru (s. below)—śyeni vāṇḍāpasphurā ‘reddish-white mutilated (?) cow’ H. (II: ib. 4–5, IV: ib. 12) : K 4 (ib. 17–18; śyeni kūṭā v°), T 4 (TS) or 5 (TB) (kr̥ṣṇā kūṭā), V 12 (parivṛtti; kr̥ṣṇā gauḥ parimūrnī paryāriṇī ŚB or k° g° paryāyiṇī parimūrnā tristanā ŚBK VII. 1. 4. 14, k° parimūrnī apahatā ‘eine schwarze, alte, abgerackerte (Kuh)’ W. p. 24, Kāt.).—This offering has many strange features. The havis is prescribed as nairṛtaś carur nakhāvapūtānām (MS II and IV ll. cc.) and its size is defined as aṅguṣṭhaparavamītra (Mān. sū. 36, Vār. III. 3. 1. 35 though partly hopelessly corrupt). Cf. KS XV. 4: nairṛtaś caruḥ kr̥ṣṇānām vrihiṇām nakhanirbhinnānām (p. 211. 17), simil. ŚB V. 3. 1. 13, Kāt. XV. 3. 14; for the use of black rice cf. also TS I. 8. 9. 1=TB I. 7. 3. 4, Baudh. XII. 5: p. 92. 1, and for the size cf. Āp. XVIII. 10. 16 (Cal. n. 2), Hir XIII. 4. 6 (aṅguṣṭhamātra) and 7, H. table n. 7.—For the act prescribed in Mān. sū. 37 and Vār. III. 3. 1. 36, cf. the nirṛti homa (above sū. 12 and Vār. ib. 7) and the apāmārgahoma (above sū. 23 and Vār. ib. 18). For the addition of pradare vā cf. TB I. 6. 1. 3, I. 7. 1. 9 *cum suis*, ŚB V. 2. 3. 2, Kāt. XV. 1. 10.—For further peculiarities s. Vādh. AO IV, p. 169–170: no. 63 (agni) and no. 64 (idhma).—Both Mān. and Vār. are silent.

on an interesting statement of MS IV. 3. 8: athainārī (*sc.* parivṛktirī) parivṛñjanti (p. 47. 13). It reminds us of the same treatment of the superseded consort as is said about the parivṛtti in ŠB V. 3. 1. 13, Kāt. XV. 3. 35: parivṛttirī cāha: mā me 'dyeśayām vātsid iti, W. p. 24 c. n. 4, H. table n. 6, p. 57 c. n. 42.

4 bis. Vār. III. 3. 1. 35: mahiṣyā gr̄he pracarya (i. e. after no. 3), bhāgaś carur vasinyā (?) gr̄he, vicittagarbhā paṣṭhauhī dakṣinā: T 4 (TB I. 7. 3. 3 *cum suis*): vāvātā 'favourite'—Bhaga—caru—vicittag. paṣṭhauhī.—vasinī (read vaśinī?) corresponds to vāvātā, cf. Baudh. XXVI. 1: yānyā mahiṣyā eva subhagā bhavati (p. 271. 12, in contrast with parivṛkti=durbhagā ib. 13).

5. senānī—Agni—8-kap. puroḍ—hiranyakam (II: ib. 5–6, IV: ib. 15, 16–17): K 5 (ib. 18–19), T 5 or 6 (TB), V 1.

6. saṅgrahitṛ—Aśvinau—2-kap. puroḍ—savatyau (=savātyau pw) (II: ib. 6–7, IV: ib. 18, 19): K 6 (ib. 19; savātyau), T 9 or 10 (TB) (savātyau), V 8 or 7 (ŠBK VII. 1. 4. 8) (yamau *or* anūcinagarbhau (anvagjau ŠBK) gāvau).—On the meaning of savātyau s. Cal. n. 3 ad Āp. XVIII. 10. 12 sub no. 10, H. table n. 10.

7. kṣattrī—Savitṛ—8-kap. puroḍ.—śyetaḥ ‘a reddish-white ox’ (II: ib. 7–8, IV: p. 48. 1): K 7 (ib. 19–20), T 8 or 9 (TB) (12-kap. puroḍ., upadhvastāḥ ‘a speckled ox’), V 7 or 8 (ŠBK VII. 1. 4. 9) (12-kap. or 8-kap. puroḍ., śveto ‘naḍvān’).—On the meaning of kṣattrī s. H. table n. 8, ‘Kämmerer’ Cal., ‘chamberlain’ G.

8. sūta—Varuṇa—yavamayo 10-kap. puroḍ.—babhrur mahāniraṣṭaḥ ‘a reddish-brown castrated bull’ (II: ib. 8–9, IV: ib. 2): K 8 (ib. 20–21), T 6 or 7 (TB) (without babhru-), V 5 (yavamayaś caruh, aśvah).

9. vaiśyo grāmanīḥ—Marutāḥ—7-kap. puroḍ.—prśniḥ paṣṭhauhī (II: ib. 9–10, IV: ib. 2–3): K 9 (ib. 21—p. 212. 1), T 7 or 8 (TB) (without vaiśya- and paṣṭhauhī), V 6 (without vaiśya-; prśan gauḥ).

10. bhāgadugha—Pūṣan—caru—śyāmaḥ ‘a black ox’ (II: ib. 10, IV: ib. 4–5): K 10 (p. 212. 1–2), T 10 or 11 (TB), V 9.—bhāgadugha- =bhāgadhātika-Vār. III. 3. 1. 37, =mahānasika- (v. l. mahānāsika-, etc.) ‘Truchsess’ (W., Cal.) *or* =yo bhāgalābhī dogdhi Baudh. XXVI. 1: p. 272. 1–2, ‘divider, collector’ H., ‘collector of the king’s share’ G., s. further W. p. 20, p. 23, Eggeling SBE XLI, p. 63, n. 1, Cal. n. 3 ad Āp. XVIII. 10. 12 sub no. 11, H. table n. 11, p. 54.

10 bis. Vār. III. 3. 1. 38: tasya (*sc.* bhāgadughasya) gr̄he pracarya (i. e. after no. 10) adhvane svāheti chānuścatesya (?) gr̄he juhoti. Cf. Āp. XVIII. 10. 25: adhvane svāheti pālākalasya gr̄he juhoti. (26: pālāk°=anṛtadūta-. 27: dakṣinā), Hir. XIII. 4. 11–13: V 11 (ŠB V. 3. 1. 11, Kāt. XV. 3. 13 and 31–33), that is, pālāgala (=dūta Kāt.)—Adhvan—caturgr̄hitam ājyam (offered with the mantra VSK XI. 1. 4)—a special bow, etc.; s. W. p. 21 c. n., Cal. nn. ad Āp. II. cc., H. table n. 15, p. 54.

11. takṣa-rathakārau—Viṣṇu—3-kap. puroḍ.—sarvāyasāni (II: ib. 10–11, IV: ib. 5–6).—No corresponding item is mentioned even in KS, but cf. Āp. XVIII. 10. 17: vaiṣṇavāṁ trikapālam trayodaśam ('as the 13th havis', s. Cal. n.) takṣarathakārator gṛhe. 18: śarvāyasāni dakṣiṇā. 19: takṣṇo rathakārasya vety eke (Cal. n.)=Hir. XIII. 4. 8 (last part) while the beginning part prescribes that the takṣan and rathakāra should be led into one house and an 8-kap. puroḍ. should be offered to Agni (cf. however no. 5 above), the dakṣiṇā being iron utensils.⁽¹⁾

n. 1. The middle part is nothing but a mixture of no. 11 and no. 12: govikartṛ-akṣāvāpāv (Cal. reads māṁsapākākṣa° in his n. 3 ad Āp. XVIII. 10. 20) ekasmin gṛhe samāniya vaiṣṇavarāṁ trikapālam iti vatsaḥ śvetaśabalo dakṣiṇā.

12. akṣāvāpa 'Würfelbewahrer' Cal., 'surveyor of the dicing hall' H., 'dice-thrower' G. and govikarta 'Vorschneider' Cal., 'slaughterer' H., G.—Rudra—gāvīdhukāś caruh—asir vālāpitasthaḥ (read °pidhasthaḥ, apidha-=apidhāna-) 'a sword in a cover made of the horse's tail-hair,' or śabalas trivatsaḥ 'aspeckled ox of three years', or abhidhāni kesarapāsā 'a halter with cords of the horse's mane' (II: ib. 11–13, IV: ib. 7): K. 11 (p. 212. 2–4) with govyaccha-for govikarta-, and enumerating as dakṣiṇā: asir vālāvṛto, vavrīr vālāprati-grathitā (read vārdhri-vāla° 'ein aus Leder und Rosshaaren geknüpfter Strick' Cal. n. ad Āp. XVIII. 10. 23), barāśi dāmabhūṣā (: °tūṣā Āp. l. c. 'ein mit Schnurfransen versehenes Gewebe' Cal.), vatsaro vā śabalo dakṣiṇā; T 11 or 12 (TB) with akṣāvāpa alone and mentioning as dakṣiṇā: śabala udvārah 'ein scheckiger Ochs mit emporgerichtetem Schwanz (?)' Cal., H.; V 10 (ŚB V. 3. 1. 10, briefly Kāt. XV. 3. 12: raudro yajamānasyākṣāvāpagovikartagrhebhyo gavedhukānām. 27: tridakṣiṇo raudraḥ. 28: śitibāhuśitivālayor anyataraḥ. 29: asir nakharah. 30: vāladāmabaddham akṣāvapanam, s. W. p. 20 and p. 24; with almost the same content ŚBK VII. 1. 4. 11.—Cf. Āp. XVIII. 10. 20, for govikartasya vā read rather g° ca in agreement with MS l. c. and KS l. c. As for Hir. XIII. 4. 8 mid. part s. above no. 11, n. 1.—For the dakṣiṇā (H. table n. 14) cf. Āp. XVIII. 10. 21–22: MS l. c., Āp. ib. 23: KS l. c., but Hir. XIII. 4. 10 (sec. half) quite corrupt, s. Cal. nn. ad Āp. ll. cc.

On the number of the ratnins. W. p. 21, p. 22 (delete no. 12 of the Kāṭh.-column), H. table, p. 49–50, p. 51 (on the number eleven), p. 53 c. n. 28.

Against a clear statement in MS IV. 3. 8: ekādaśa vā etāni havirñsi (p. 48. 9) and an actual enumeration of eleven ratnins in KS XV. 4, the MS in both passages (II. 6. 5 and IV. 3. 8), adding takṣa-rathakārau as no. 11, prescribes in fact twelve havis⁽¹⁾, followed exactly by the Mānavas while the Vārāhas, adding two further havis (no. 4 bis and no. 10 bis above) and showing clearly the influence of other schools in these additions, mention 14 havis in all.

n. 1. The fluctuation seems to be reflected in a ms. reading of Vār III. 3. 1. 34: ekā dvādaśa (cited above under Mān. sū. 34)

A gradual increase in number can be observed also among the Taittirīyakas. TB I. 7. 3 adds the offering in the vāvātā's house as the fourth havis (supra no. 4 bis) to the list of eleven havis given by TS I. 8. 9. 1-2, and it states in unmistakable words that the number is twelve, dvādaśaitāni havīṁṣi bhavanti (ib. 6) which take 12 consecutive days as can be clearly seen from the description of Baudh. XII. 5. Among the younger Taittirīyakas the Āpastambas, after having quoted in pratīka the relevant passages of the Saṁh. and the Br. and stated conclusively: dvādaśānvaharṇ ratnīnāṁ havīṁṣi (XVIII. 10. 12), increase the number to fourteen by adding the offering in the house of the takṣa-rathakārau (supra no. 11) 'as the 13 th' (in fact, however, as the 12 th) just before that in the akṣavāpa's house (supra no. 12) and that in the pālākala's house (supra no. 10 bis) which occupies the last, i. e., 14 th place. In the same way the Hiranya-keśins who announce the number as thirteen in the beginning (XIII. 4. 1) enumerate in reality fourteen havis: takṣa-rathakārau (supra no. 11) being mentioned before senānī (supra no. 5) and akṣavāpa (supra no. 12), and pālākala (supra no. 10 bis) being added in the last place.

Lastly the Vājasaneyins, though they must have regarded the havis in the parivṛtti's house as an extra one since it is mentioned (ŚB V. 3. 1. 13) only after a concluding statement: tāni vā etāny ekādaśa ratnāni saṁpādayati (ib. 12), recognize in practice twelve havis, cf. Kāt. XV. 3. 1: dvādaśottarāṇi ratnahavīṁṣi.

From the materials given above one may conclude that the number of the ratnīn-offerings was originally eleven (KS, TS). It increased to twelve (MS-Mān. by adding takṣa-rathakārau, TB-Baudh. by adding vāvātā, ŚB-Kāt. by adding pālāgala) and even to fourteen in the younger Sūtras by absorbing the precepts of other śākhās (Vār., Āp.-Hir.).

sū. 39 and 40. İşṭis for Indra aṁhomuc and Indra sutrāman. W. p. 25-26, H. p. 50, p. 52 and p. 57 (annot.).

sū. 39. indrāya etc.=MS II. 6. 6: p. 66. 14-15 and IV. 3. 9: p. 48. 13, 14-15 (without mentioning the dakṣiṇā), cf. Vār. III. 3. 1. 40: indrāyāṁhomuca iti dvividhe, KS XV. 4: indrāya sutrāmna ekādaśakapāla, indrāyāṁhomuca ekādaśakapālo rājño grhe; ṛṣabho dakṣiṇā (p. 212. 4-5), thus as to the order agreeing with the Taittirīyakas (TS I. 8. 9. 2, TB I. 7. 3. 7).—The dakṣiṇā: Āp. XVIII. 10. 29 (Cal. n.).

sū. 40. The meaning of anvaham is not quite clear. It may mean that both iştis are not to be performed on one day but one by one on two succeeding days, cf. Hir. XIII. 4. 14: aindram uttamam yajamānasya grhe nirvapati. anvaham eke bruvate¹⁰; it hardly indicates the way of offering these iştis after the fashion described in Baudh. XII. 5, cf. Cal. n. 1 ad Āp. XVIII. 10. 28.—To these

iṣṭis for Indra corresponds a caru for Soma and Indra with a white cow together with a white calf as dakṣinā in the tradition of the Vājasaneyins (ŚB V. 3. 2. 1-2, Kāt. XV. 3. 36-37), s. W. p. 25-26, H. p. 50, p. 52, p. 53 c. n. 34, p. 60. The same iṣṭi can be performed as a kāmya for one who, though learned and worthy of fame, is not famous enough (ŚB ib. 3, Kāt. ib. 38) and further for one who wishes to get rid of leprosy (Kāt. ib. 39: kilāsabādharṇ tu), cf. Cal. Wunschopfer No. 40.

n. 1. For the addition of the mantra: ayaṁ no rājā etc. (TS, TB ll. cc.) to the hotṛ's sūktavāka (Hir. l. c.) cf. Cal. n. 2 ad Āp. XVIII. 11. 1.

sū. 41. On the pārvaṇa-homa s. Hillebr. NOV p. 115-6, Cal. n. ad Āp. II. 20. 5.

sū. 42. On the traīdhātavyā which is performed also at the end of the rājasūya (Mān. IX. 1. 5. 49, cf. W. p. 73; Kāt. XV. 7. 29-34; p. 106: XV. 10. 25; H. p. 8 end: Baudh. XII. 20: p. 118. 6; p. 168-9, p. 171-2, p. 221 c. n. 11) s. Mān. V. 2. 5. 1-18, Cal. Wunschopfer No. 178 with a reference to the chief passages (ib. p. 128).—For the dakṣinā (1000 cows) cf. MS II. 4. 4: tasmād āhuḥ sahasradakṣinēti (p. 42. 4-5), Mān. V. 2. 5. 10, Āp. XIV. 23. 2: traīdhātavyām eke sahasradakṣinīm samāmananti (Cal. n. 1), XX. 23. 5 and 6 (s. Dumont L'Aśvamedha, p. 286).

IX. 1. 2.

sū. 1-14. Iṣṭi for Mitra and Br̥haspati. W. p. 26-27, H. p. 58-60, p. 60-62 (annot.).

sū. 1. Cf. MS IV. 3. 9.: athaitan maitrābārhaspatyam (p. 48. 16). The locative dīkṣāṇīyām is strange in this connection. We expect rather the nominative⁽¹⁾ as in Vār. III. 3. 1. 41: maitrābārhaspatyam abhiṣecaniyasya dīkṣāṇīyā (ex conj. for °yāḥ ms.), cf. also Āp. XVIII. 11. 8: °patyā dīkṣāṇīyā (Cal. n.), Hir. XIII. 4. 20: °patyāṁ dīkṣāṇīyāṁ bhavati.—As for the relation of this iṣṭi to the dīkṣā cf. MS IV. 3. 9: p. 48. 16-18, H. p. 58 c. n. 2, p. 61 c. n. 12.

n. 1. The accusative °yām (sc. nirvapati) might be conceivable, cf. Mān. II. 1. 1. 16, if it were not for sū. 5 infra which would then become redundant.

sū. 2-4. The svayam-motif, s. W. l. c., H. p. 58-59.—**sū. 2=MS II. 6. 6:** p. 66. 15—p. 67. 1=Vār. III. 3. 1. 42, simil. KS XV. 5: svayamavapannāyā etc. (p. 212. 6).—**sū. 3=MS ib.: p. 67. 1** with atha before śvetām instead of purastāc ('previously' G. tr.) chve°, simil. KS ib.: p. 216. 6-7 but without atha or purastāt. According to Vār. ib. 43: tasmin śvetāyāḥ śvetavatsāyā dugdharṇ bhavati the vessel in question serves as a receptacle for the milk of a white cow feeding a white calf. At any rate there is no mention of a skin bag: viniṭa-ŚB V. 3. 2. 6, Kāt. XV. 3. 42, dṛti- ŚBK VII. 2. 1. 7, Āp. XVIII. 11. 3 (Cal. n. 2), Hir. XIII. 4. 16 (Cal. l. c., H. p. 58, n. 4).—**sū. 4=MS ib.: p. 67. 1-2=**

Vār. ib. 44–46, simil. KS ib.: p. 212. 7 ending with svayam viliyate; cf. Āp. XIII. 11. 6. (Cal. n.)=Hir. XIII. 4. 18.

sū. 5. (nirvapaṇa, s. H. p. 60 c. n. 9)=MS II. 6. 6: p. 67. 2 (nirvapanti)=KS XV. 5: p. 212. 7–8 (°vapati), cf. Vār. III. 3. 1. 50: mitrāya ḫuṣṭam bṛhaspataye juṣṭam iti nirvapati.⁽¹⁾

n. 1. By the way Hir. XIII. 4. 22 should begin with nirvapaṇakāle while the words: maitram carum belong to the preceding sūtra (pātrasamīśadana, cf. Āp. XVIII. 11. 12).

sū. 6. The ardham-motif, s. H. p. 59.—Cf. KS XV. 5: p. 212. 11–12 and Vār. III. 3. 1. 47–49, both agreeing in the order: vedi, barhis and idhma as well as in using dānti (KS) or dāti for lunāti (Mān.) and svayaṇdinam (so also TS I. 8. 9. 3, TB I. 7. 3. 8 *cum suis*) for °lūnam (Mān.). As for the idhma-precept Mān. stands nearer to KS than Vār. ib. 49: ardham idhmasya chinatty a° svayamavapannam⁽¹⁾ (read so for °āvapanam ed).—A quotation (ekeśām) in Āp. XVIII. 11. 10 (Cal. n.) closely resembles the KS-passage referred to above except that the former reads at the end: a° idhmasya vr̄scati, a° svayamvr̄kñam. simil. but in a somewhat confused way Hir. XIII. 4. 27 (sec. half) in which we must transfer *ardham* from the first half (svayaṇikṛta-motif: TS I. 8. 9. 3 *cum suis*, H. p. 59) to the second half (arham-motif) and read: a° vedyāḥ karoti, etc.; still we miss at the end a phrase corresponding to a° s°vr̄kñam (Āp.).

n. 1. The expression is used of an aśvattha-branch falling down of itself, KS XV. 5: p. 212. 6 (s. supra ad sū. 2), TS I. 8. 9. 3, Baudh. XII 6: p. 93. 1, Āp. XVIII. 11. 2=Hir. XIII. 4. 15.

sū. 7. (Cooking of the bārhaspatya-caru)=MS II. 6. 6: p. 67. 3=Vār. III. 3. 1. 51=KS XV. 5: p. 212. 8–9 (*with* kṣodiyāṁśas *for* kṣodishāṁśas taṇḍulāś), cf. Āp. XVIII. 11. 14: kṣodishāṁś ca sthaviṣṭhāṁś cety eke (Cal. n.), Hir. XIII. 4. 22 (last part, *with* ekeśām).⁽¹⁾—For the time of separating the two kinds of grains s. H. p. 60, n. 9, cf. KS l. c.: tān dvedhā taṇḍulān vibhajati (p. 212. 8) though without specifying the time of separation, ŚB V. 3. 2. 7 beg. (s. soon below).—For the contrast between the kṣodishā (kṣodiyas) grains for the bārhaspatya-caru and the sthaviṣṭha (sthaviyas) ones for the maitra-caru, cf. also ŚB V. 3. 2. 7: dvedhā taṇḍulān kurvanti (ŚBK VII. 2. 1. 8 has vyūhet). sa ye 'ṇīyāṁśah paribhinnāś te bārhaspatyā, atha ye sthaviyāṁśo 'paribhinnāś te maitrāḥ, Kāt. XV. 3. 42: tasmint (*sc. pātre*) sthaviṣṭhāṁś taṇḍulān maitrāyāvapati. 44: aṇiṣṭhān itarasmin.

n. 1. The Taittr. make a distinction between karṇa- ‘unhusked’ Keith TS tr., H. p. 59 ge‘ōhrt’ Cal. Āp. and akarṇa- ‘husked’ ‘ungeöhrt’, TS I. 8. 9. 3, Baudh. XII. 6: p. 93. 1–3, cf. XXII. 17: p. 141. 16– p. 142. 1, Āp. XVIII. 11. 13 (Cal. n. 2: k°=die ganzen Körner, ak°=die zerstückelten, Bhavasvāmin. In this case the broken grains would be used for Mitra’s caru!), Hir. XIII. 4. 22 (mid. part, accord. to the comm. k°=chinnāḥ, ak°=acchinnāḥ, corresp. to paribhinnāḥ and aparibh° of ŚB and just to the contrary of Bhavasvāmin’s explanation).

sū. 8 (Placing of the vessel for the maitra-caru on that for the bārhaspatya-caru). Cf. MS II. 6. 6: tatra tat pātram apidhāya, ājyam āśicya, ye sthaviṣṭhāś

tañḍulāś tān āvapati (p. 67. 3-4)=Vār. III. 3. 1. 52, simil. KS XV. 5: sa (sc. bārhaspatyaś caruḥ) yadā śrto (read so, Cal. n. ad Āp. XVIII. 11. 16) bhavaty, atha tat pātram āśvattham apidhāya pavitravaty ājyam ānayati, tat sthaviyasa āvapati, sa maitrāś carus svayarṁśrto bhavati (p. 212: 9-11), cf. Āp. XVIII. 11. 16-18 (Cal. nn.), Hir. XIII. 4. 23 (last part), 24-25 (reading sthaviyastañḍulān instead of akarṇān (Āp. I. c. 18) as if the opinion of eke (s. supra ad sū. 7) were tacitly approved).—In spite of G. tr. something is wrong with the wording of sū. 8. As the essential act at this point consists in placing the special vessel (sū. 2) for the maitra-caru on the vessel containing the already cooked caru for Br̥haspati (H. 60), the original reading must have been: tatra pātram apidhāya (for tatrājyam ādhāya) in accord with MS 1. c. For the use of api-dhā cf. also TB I. 7. 3. 8: bārhaspatye maitram apidadhāti, the same thing is meant by Baudh. XII. 5: tena (sc. maitrapātreṇa) bārhaspatyam apidadhāti (p. 93. 5), Āp. XVIII. 11. 16: yadā śrto bhavaty, athainam (sc. bārhaspatyarṇ carum) maitreṇa pātreṇā-pidadhāti (Cal. n.), expressly Hir. XIII. 4. 23 (last part):...atha tam maitrapātram upariṣṭād avadadhāti.

sū. 9. (Cooking together of both carus)=MS II. 6. 6: p. 67. 4⁽¹⁾ (*without samāhatya*)=Vār. III. 3. 1. 53 (do.). G. tr. (p. 252, n. 3) wants to read samāhṛtya ‘having brought them together’ for samāhatya ‘having joined them together’, either of which would give a good sense. It emphasizes the procedure of putting one vessel upon the other (H. p. 60), cf. Vār. ib. 54: uttarādhārau śrapayanti (*delete* maitrān as ed. p. 117, n. 19 suggests), Āp. XVIII. 11. 19-20 (Cal. nn.), Hir. XIII. 4. 26 (H. p. 60, n. 10).

n. 1. The same expression is used also MS II. 6. 1: p. 64. 2=IV. 3. 1: p. 139. 2-3, Mān. IX. 1. 1. 9, Vār. III. 3. 1. 5.

sū. 11. (āśādana). The precepts seem to be peculiar to the Mānavas. anuddhata- (for Mitra) ‘not dug up’ G. or ‘not elevated’: uddhata- (for Br̥haspati) ‘dug up’ G. or ‘elevated’. Baudh. XII. 6 simply says: tam tathāpihitam (i. e. bārhaspatyam) antarvedy āśādayati (p. 93. 5-6).

sū. 13. (pracaraṇa, H. p. 60 beg.)=MS II. 6. 6: p. 67. 5=Vār. III. 3. 1. 55. For the Maitrāyaṇīyas the order is always: Mitra—Br̥haspati, cf. supra sū. 5 (nirvapaṇa) and sū. 11 (āśādana) in contrast with the Taittiriya usage, cf. TB I. 7. 3. 8: bārhaspatyena pūrveṇa pracarati, Baudh. XII. 6: p. 93. 8, Āp. XVIII. 11. 21 (āśādana) and 22 (Cal. n.), Hir. XIII. 4. 29, but ib. 30 beg.: itarathā vā.

sū. 14. (dakṣinā, H. p. 66 c. n. 11)=MS II. 6. 6: p. 67. 5 (*up to bārhaspatyasya*)=Vār. III. 3. 1. 56 (ending, however, with: sā vā śvetā śvetavatsā)⁽¹⁾, cf. KS XV. 5: śitipṛṣṭho bārhaspatyasya dakṣinā, aśvo maitrasya, sā vaiva (read so in accord with ms., Cal. n. 2 ad Āp. XVIII. 11. 23) śvetā śvetavatsā (p. 212. 12-13), exactly so also Āp. XVIII. 11. 23 (*read* vaiva *instead of* caiva, s. Cal. I. c.), Hir. XIII. 4. 30 (do.)⁽²⁾, while TS I. 8. 9. 3 prescribes only: saiva śvetā śvetavatsā

as *dakṣinā*=TB I. 7. 3. 8, simil. Baudh. XII. 6: p. 93. 10-11.

n. 1. The addition of *ubhayoh* ‘for both offerings’ seems to be peculiar to the Mānavas.

n. 2. *avyuptavaho* (*read so for vyu°*) *vā* inserted between *mitrasya* and *sā* *vaiva* seems to be an interpolation, as to this word cf. Hir. XIII. 3. 44 towards the end, Āp. XVIII. 10. 11 (Cal. n.): MS II. 5. 9: p. 59. 8-9, H. p. 43, n. 8.

Vār. III. 3. 1. 57: *yasya rāṣṭram śithiram iva syāt tam etena yājayen maitrābār-haspatyena*=MS IV. 3. 9: p. 48. 18-19, prescribes a kāmya-use of this *iṣṭi*, cf. ŠBK VII. 2. 1. 11 (for a different purpose): *tena hāpy etena viṣṭhāvrāje* (locat., s. Cal. ŠBK I, Introd. p. 55, *on viṣṭhāvrājīn*: ŠB V. 5. 1. 12 s. Eggeling ad loc.) *sa yajeta, yo ’pratigṛhyasya vā pratigṛhniyād ayājyam vā yājayet*.—The *maitrāvaraṇī āmikṣā* which is mentioned in Vār. ib. 58 and the *pracaraṇa* of which is prescribed later in III. 3. 3. 34 corresponds to the *vaiśvadevī payasi* of Mān. IX. 1. 2. 30 (s. below).—Vār. ib. 59 contains precepts concerning the *daśapeya*. For *sārasvatyo vasativaryah* s. H. p. 181, cf. also Baudh. XII. 8: p. 98. 10-11. On *bhārgavo hotā daśapeye* s. above ad Mān. IX. 1. 1. 2.

sū. 15-24. *Devasvāṁ havīṁsi*. W. p. 27-33, H. p. 69-71, p. 71-78 (annot.), cf. also p. 64, p. 66 c. n. 16.—*devasu-* (*devasvāḥ* pl., “*suvaḥ* Taitt.) means most probably ‘divine impellers,’⁽¹⁾ cf. MS IV. 3. 9: *athaite devasva, ete vai devānāṁ sūtā* (‘impellers of the gods?’ but possibly ‘imp° among the gods’, i. e. ‘divine impellers’), *ete savapataya, ete savasyeṣate, ta enāḥ suvate, tasmād vā etam āhuḥ, pūrvedyur vā eṣa sūyate, ’bhitaś ca sicyatā iti* (p. 48. 20—p. 49. 2), ŠB V. 3. 3. 13: *etā ha vai devatāḥ savasyeṣate, tasmād devasvo nāma, tad enam etā eva devatāḥ suvate, tābhīḥ sūtaḥ svāḥ sūyate*, IX. 4. 3. 12 (*sū-*, *su-* can mean ‘to consecrate’ as well as ‘to impel’), TB I. 7. 4. 1-2 (cf. H. p. 74-75), I. 4. 2. 4 (Cal. n. 2 ad Āp. XIII. 24. 8), KB XIX. 5: *etā ha vai devatāḥ savānāṁ īṣate* (p. 85 last line).—The passage of MS IV. 3. 9 cited above together with Baudh. XXII. 11: p. 133. 15 has been adduced by H. p. 74 c. n. 23 (s. also p. 80, n. 5) for supporting his theme that a trace of the unction performed conjointly with the devas. *havīṁsi* can be still discernable. For this view speak also such passages as ŠBK VII. 2. 3. 13 (corresp. to ŠB V. 3. 3. 13 cit. supra) ending with: *eṣa hāṣya pūrvābhīṣekas* (for this expression cf. ŠB IX. 4. 3. 16, H. p. 73, n. 21), *tad enam etābhīḥ prasūtaṁ śvo bhūte ’bhiṣiñcati*, and ib. 14 *with madhyato ’bhiṣiñcati for ma° suvati* (ŠB ib. 15).

n. 1. Variously explained and translated, e. g. ‘göttliche Zeuger’ or ‘Königzeugend’ W. p. 29 c. n. 2, ‘Divine Quickeners Eggeling SBE XLI, p. 69, etc., “presumably ‘instigating the gods’, and not ‘divine instigators’” Keith TS tr. I, p. 121, n. 5, s. also RV-Br. p. 453, n. 1 ad KB XIX. 5, ‘divine instigators’ or ‘göttliche Zeuger’ H. p. 69, s. also p. 72-73 c. n. 18, p. 86, n. 28.

sū. 15. The number of the *dikṣā*-days for the *abhiṣecanīya* is fixed at twelve; for other schools s. H. p. 63. The *sutyā* falls on the 17th day, s. *sū. 26* below.

sū. 17-18. The *soma* for the *daśapeya* is bought at the same time as that

for the abhiṣecanīya and is deposited in the brahman's house. H. p. 63–64. Cf. ŚB V. 4. 5. 15 (: ŚBK VII. 4. 1. 13: purohitasya vā gṛheṣu brahmaṇo vā), Kāt. XV. 4. 3 (cf. XV. 8. 10: krayaikatva-) Āp. XVIII. 12. 2–3 (Cal. n.), Hir. XIII. 5. 2.

sū. 17. °deṣe ‘on the occasion of’.—yathoddeśair etc. cf. MS III. 7. 7: vatsatareṇa sāñḍena krayyah (read so, Cal. n. ad Āp. X. 25. 14) KS XXIV. 2: ya eva kaś ca sāñḍas syād aretās tena kriṇiyāt (p. 91. 10, Cal. n. ad Āp. I. c. supplies Kalb; Kaps XXXVII. 3: p. 196. 18 has: ya eva dhūrṣāt tena kri°). For the somakrayaṇa cf. Mān. II. 1. 4. 8–12, CH § 33, esp. p. 45.

sū. 20. The nirvapaṇa of the devas. hav. takes place after that of the agniṣomiya-paśupuroḍāśa (CH § 106. g: p. 120), simil. Vār. III. 3. 1. 60 (*read* devasvām for °svo, s. ed. p. 118, n. 4), cf. ŚB V. 3. 3. 1: agniṣomiyam ekādaśa-kapālāṇi puroḍāśām nirvapati, tad anu devasvām havīṁśi nirupyante, Kāt. XV. 4. 4, Baudh. XII. 7: p. 94. 8–9=X. 55 (agnicayana): p. 57. 19—p. 58. 2, Āp. XVIII. 12. 4 (Cal. nn.; s. also XIII. 24. 8, XVII. 22. 9), Hir. XIII. 5. 3, KB XIX. 5: p. 85. 22, Śāṅkh. IX. 26. 1.—Both Sūtras refer to MS II. 6. 6: p. 67. 5–10 where the eight deities as well as the havis are enumerated, cf. KS XV. 5: p. 212. 13–17, TS I. 8. 10. a (referred to by Baudh. XII. 7: p. 94. 9, Āp. XVIII. 12. 4, Hir. XIII. 5. 3), ŚB V. 3. 3. 2–9, Kāt. XV. 4. 5–13, Śāṅkh. IX. 26. 2 (Cal. nn.), Āśv. IV. 11. 5. For the yājyānuv. s. MS IV. 12. 6: p. 196. 6.—p. 197. 16, KS XXIII. 12, TS III. 4. 11, Śāṅkh. IX. 26. 3 (Cal. n.; as for the order=TS), Āśv. IV. 11. 6 (as for the order=MS). As the details have been clearly presented by H. p. 69–70 (s. also W. p. 29–30), suffice it here to summarize the contents of MS I. c. in the same way as has been done for the ratnīn-offerings above.

1. Agni gṛhapati—8-kap. puroḍ. of āpatanta: K 1 (of āśu), T 1 (of krṣṇa vrihi), V 2 (as K, s. W. p. 29, n. 6).
2. Soma vanaspati—śyāmāka caru: K 3, T 2, V 3 (s. W. p. 29, n. 7)
3. Savitṛ prasavitṛ—8-kap. puroḍ of satīna: K 2, T 3 (S° satyaprasava, so also Śāṅkh. and Āśv.—12-kap. puroḍ of āśu vrihi), V 1 (as T—12- or 8-kap. puroḍ. of plāśuka vrihi, s. W. p. 29, n. 4, H. p. 69, n. 2).
4. Br̥haspati vācaspati—naivāra caru: K 4, T 5 (mentioned in the 4th place by Āśv.), V 4 (B° vāc, s. W. p. 29, n. 8).
5. Indra jyeṣṭha—11-kap. puroḍ. of hāyana (s. H. p. 70, n. 3): K 5, T 6 (of mahāvrihi), V 5 (caru of hāyana, s. W. p. 30, n. 1; but accord. to ŚBK VII. 2. 3. 6: 11-kap. puroḍ.).
6. Mitra satyasya patih—caru of nāmba (s. H. p. 70. n. 4): K 6 (of āmba), T 7 (M° satya, so also Śāṅkh. and Āśv., c° of āmba), V 7 (M° satya, c° of nāmba, s. W. p. 30, n. 3, but c° of āmba ŚBK VII. 2. 3. 8).
7. Varuṇa dharmasya patih—yavamaya caru: K 7 (V° dharmaṇaspati—yavamaya 10-kap. puroḍ.), T 8 (V° dharmapati, so also Śāṅkh. and Āśv.), V 8

(V° dharmapati).

8. Rudra paśupati—gāvīdhuka caru: K 8, T 4 (mentioned in the 8th place by Āśv.: rudraḥ paśumān paśupatir vā), V 6 (gāvedhuka caru, s. W. p. 30, n. 2).

Further in this connection Vār. III. 3. 1. 60 alone prescribes the sarvapṛṣṭhā (*sc.* iṣṭi) containing ten havis. For this iṣṭi cf. MS III. 5. 10 (yājyānuv.: III. 16. 4), KSA V. 10, (KS XXII. 14), TS VII. 5. 14 and 15 (IV. 4. 12), Āp. XX. 9. 2: vaiśvānareṇa pracaryāgnaye gāyatrāyeti [TS VII. 5. 14] daśahaviṣāṁ sarvapṛṣṭhāṁ nirvapati ‘... opfert er die zehn Havis umfassende, alle Prṣṭhatotrabennungen in sich fassende Opfergabe,’ and 3 (Cal. nn.), Hir. XIV. 2. 35–36 ŠB IX. 4. 3. 11 (VS XXIX. 60), KB XIX. 5 (after the devas. hav.): atra haike sarvapṛṣṭhāyai havīṁśi nirvapanti,... tāni vai daśa havīṁśi bhavanti (p. 86. 3...5), Śāṅkh. IX. 26. 4, 27. 1–2 (Cal. nn.), Āśv. IV. 12. 1–3.

sū. 23–24. (hastagrahaṇa). H. p. 70–71, p. 74–75.—Similarly Vār. III. 3. 2. 1. The time is fixed as ‘before the svīṣṭakṛt’ (prāk svīṣṭakṛtaḥ Mān., purastāt svīṣṭakṛtaḥ Vār.), cf. also ŠB V. 3. 3. 10, 15 (Cal. n. 1 ad Āp. XVIII. 12. 6), so all the Taittiriya Sūtras (s. below).—The two mantras employed are found MS II. 6. 6: p. 67. 10–14,¹⁰ cf. KS XV. 5: p. 212. 17–22 (the second mantra remarkably lacking: amum etc.), TS I. 8. 10. b and c, VS IX. 39 and 40.—āśravayanti “they proclaim the sacrificer who has taken the hand of the brahman, with...” (G.); but who is the reciter of the mantras: brahman or adhvaryu? Ambiguous also Vār. I. c.:... iti hastam anvārabhya japatī,... According to the Taittir. it is the brahman in all probability, cf. Baudh. X. 55 (agnicayana): atha vai bhavatiṣṭo varuṇo, bhavaty aniṣṭaḥ svīṣṭakṛd, athāsyā brahmā hastāṁ gr̥hṇāti (in accord with TB I. 7. 4. 2:... iti hastam gr̥hṇāti) (p. 58. 7–9) and then follow the mantras TS I. 8. 10. b and c, Āp. XVIII. 12. 6: purastāt svīṣṭakṛtaḥ... [TS I. c.] iti brahmā yajamānasya hastāṁ gr̥hṇāti (Cal. n. 2), Hir. XIII. 5. 4: purastāt svī°,... iti yajamānasya hastāṁ vedayati (Comm.: brahmā y° h° gr̥hṇāti; should we rather read hastāṁ gr̥hitvāvedayati?). On the other hand the Vājasan. seem to let the adhvaryu recite the mantras, cf. ŠB V. 3. 3. 11: athaināṁ dakṣiṇe bāhāv abhipadya japatī: ...[VS IX. 39 and 40], Kāt. XV. 4. 14:...[VS I. c.] ity āha yajamānabāhūm dakṣiṇāṁ gr̥hitvā; not very clearly ŠBK VII. 2. 3. 11: athainam (*sc.* yajamānam?) dakṣiṇāṁ pāṇīm abhipadya vācayati (!).—**sū. 24** demands to put the names of the yajam., his gotra, mother and tribe in place of *amum* etc. of the second mantra and to add each time: mahate kṣatrāya m° janarājyāya. But neither in Mān. nor in Vār. a use is made of the famous formula: eṣa te janate rājā, somo 'smākām brāhmaṇām rājā (MS II. 6. 9: p. 69. 7–8, KS XV. 7: p. 214. 1–2; s. W. p. 31, n. 5, H. p. 71, n. 10) corresponding to a historically more interesting one, TS I. 8. 10. d: eṣa vo bharatā rājā, etc.=TB I. 7. 4. 2, cf. Baudh. X. 55: p. 58. 15–17 (*having* amī *instead of* bharatāḥ; itare=ratninaḥ? H. p. 71), Āp.

XVIII. 12. 7: athainarṇ ratnibhya āvedayati, *etc.* and 8 (Cal. nn.), Hir. XIII. 5. 4 (śec. half); VS IX. 40: esa vo 'mī rājā, ŠB V. 3. 3. 12, Kāt. XV. 4. 17, while VSK (p. 306 ed. Weber) reads: esa vah kuravo rājaiṣa vo pañcālā rājā, cf. also ŠBK VII. 2. 3. 12; s. W. p. 31-32, H. p. 71, p. 75-78.

n. 1. On the genitives prasavānām *etc.* of the first mantra. Many translators supply something like *svāmitvena*, e. g. W. p. 31, Eggeling SBE XLI, p. 71 (but cf. ib. n. 2), Cal. Āp. XVIII. 12. 6: "Savitṛ soll dich zur Herrschaft über die Geheisse...", H. p. 71: "May Savitṛ quicken thee (for dominion) of the quickeners," s. also p. 74 (: in the sense of ablatives), simil. G. tr.; against this interpretation s. Keith TS tr. I, p. 121: "May Savitṛ of instigations instigate thee," with n. 4: "The genitives here are doubtless partitive, and not dependent on a *svāmitvena* understood." So also Dumont IIJ II, p. 243 (ad H. l. c.).

The yajamāna's wiping of his face with the mantras, TS I. 8. 10. e and f, cf. TB I. 7. 4. 2-4: ...dvābhyaṁ vimṛṣṭe, Baudh. X. 55: p. 58. 18—p. 59. 1, Āp. XIII. 12. 9: ...iti vāruṇībhyāṁ yajamāno mukharṇ vimṛṣṭe=Hir. XIII. 5. 5; W. p. 32, H. p. 71 c. n. 11, p. 73, p. 85, n. 23. This ritual act is not performed by the Maitrāy. in this connection, though the corresponding mantras are found MS II. 6. 12: p. 71. 6-9, KS XV. 8: p. 215. 5-8, cf. Mān. IX. 1. 4. 8 (for a japa, s. below the paragraph of the chariot drive), Vār. III. 3. 3. 12: ...iti nimṛṣṭe (s. ed. p. 120, n. 15) and Hir. XIII. 6. 16: ...iti vāruṇībhyāṁ mukharṇ vimṛṣṭe (s. Cal. AO IX, p. 75, no. 18).

The Viṣṇu-steps. W. p. 32, H. 71. Though no allusion can be found here in MS-Mān. or in KS, the Viṣṇu-steps (cf. TB I. 7. 4. 4: viṣṇukramān kramate with TS I. 8. 10. g, Baudh. X. 55: p. 59. 1-3: ...iti gāyatrān vi° kra°, Āp. XVIII. 12. 10: ...iti trīn vi° prācaḥ krāmati=Hir. XIII. 5. 6) seem to be referred to by Vār. III. 3. 2. 2 (a hopelessly corrupt passage): (*ex conj.*) atraiva viṣṇukramān kramamāṇam anumantrayata ity adhiyate (??).

sū. 26. The abhiṣecanīya is technically an ukthya, H. p. 63 c. n. 1. Cf. MS IV. 4. 10: yad ukthyo 'nusāṁtatyai (p. 61. 18), Vār. III. 3. 2. 3: ukthyo 'bhiṣecanīyasāṁsthā, TB I. 8. 7. 2, Baudh. XII. 7: p. 93. 12, XII. 16: p. 111. 12, Kāt. XV. 4. 49, Śāṅkh. XV. 13. 10.—bṛhatpr̥ṣṭhaḥ 'with the bṛhat as first pr̥ṣṭha-stotra,' cf. MS IV. 4. 3: p. 53. 17 (H. p. 101, n. 51) in contrast with rathantarapr̥ṣṭha (Śāṅkh. XV. 12. 4: the pavitra or the introductory agniṣṭoma, s. above ad Mān. IX. 1. 1. 3).—On the stoma of the pavamānastotras: MS IV. 4. 10: sarve catuṣtriṁśāḥ pavamānā abhiṣecanīyasya (p. 61. 12-13), so also TB I. 8. 7. 1, Baudh. XII. 16: p. 111. 12, JB II. 196, l. 3, differently PB XVIII. 8. 3: 32-verses, s. Cal. ad loc., H. p. 64 c. n. 7.

sū. 28-33. A 21-kap. puroḍ. for the Maruts and a payasi for the Viśve Devāḥ. H. p. 65 c. n. 14.

sū. 28. madhyāṁdiniyān nirupya. Cf. Vār. III. 3. 2. 4: mādhyāndiniyān savanīyān (CH § 156) anu, s. also Āp. XVIII. 12. 11 (Cal. nn.).—The nirvapanā of a 21-kap. puroḍ. for the Maruts: MS IV. 3. 9: athaiṣa māruta ekavirṁśatikapālaḥ

(p. 49. 2-3), Vār. I. c.

sū. 30. The upadhāna of 21 kapālas with MS II. 6. 6: p. 67. 14-17, cf. Vār. III. 3. 2. 5.—The vaiśvadevī payasi has its counterpart in the maitrāvaraṇī āmikṣā of Vār. III. 3. 1. 58 (already mentioned above under Mān. IX. 1. 2. 14). As for the deity the Taittir. agree with Mānavas: TB I. 7. 10. 1 end: vaiśvadevy āmikṣā, while the Vājasan. with the Vārāhas: ŚB V. 4. 3. 27 where a maitrāvaraṇī payasyā is spoken of, so also Śāṅkh. XV. 13. 6, cf. Āp. XVIII. 18. 4. b: maitrāvaraṇī āmikṣām eke samāmananti (Cal. n.).—Further references to these iṣṭis: s. espec. Mān. IX. 1. 2. 33 (pracaraṇa; marutvatilyaiḥ pracarya *i. e.* after CH § 197), 1. 3. 33 (sviṣṭakṛt), 1. 4. 30 (iḍā), cf. also 1. 4. 4: lowering of the hands on the āmikṣā; Vār. III. 3. 2. 47 (mārutasya daivatena pracarati), 3. 3. 34 (pracaraṇa of the āmikṣā) and 35 (sviṣṭakṛt and iḍā for both), cf. also 3. 3. 10 (āmikṣā); TB I. 7. 7. 3 (kapāla-upadhāna), I. 7. 10. 1 (*s. above*); Baudh. XII. 10: p. 100. 8-13, XII. 11: p. 102. 15-16 (sviṣṭakṛt), XII. 16: p. 110. 8-9 (iḍā), cf. also XII. 14: p. 106. 10-13 (āmi°); Āp. XVIII. 12. 11-12 (Cal. nn.), 15. 2-4 (on an abhicāra-use *s. soon below*), 19. 15-17 (pracaraṇa, etc., Cal. nn.), cf. also 18. 3-4. a (āmi°, Cal. nn.); Hir. XIII. 5. 7-9, 5. 25 (: Āp. 15. 2), 6. 39 (pracaraṇa, abhicāra), cf. also 6. 14 (āmi°); Kāt. XV. 4. 50, 6. 36 (pracaraṇa of the payasyā: ŚB V. 4. 4. 1), 7. 22 (sviṣṭakṛt, iḍā: ŚB V. 4. 4. 24-25), cf. also 6. 34 (payasyā: ŚB V. 4. 3. 27).—An abhicāra-use of the māruta-puroḍ., H. p. 65, n. 14. Cf. Āp. XVIII. 15. 3-4 (Cal. nn.), Hir. XIII. 6. 39: MS II. 1. 9: p. 11. 5-12 (line 6 *to read* viśāprattam? *s. W. Rau: Staat u. Gesellschaft*, p. 60, n. 3), Mān. V. 1. 7. 24-27, *s. Cal. Wunschopfer* no. 65, cf. also ib. no. 113 (: MS II. 2. 5: p. 19. 3).

sū. 32. (dakṣinā). Cf. Vār. III. 3. 2. 6: 32,000, 34,000 or ayuta ‘ten thousand’ cows, on which *s. H. p. 164*, cf. Śāṅkh. XV. 16. 16-19.—For the dakṣinās in general for the rājasūya, *s. H. p. 162-4*, p. 164-6 (annot.).

sū. 34-36. Preparation of the unction fluid. *W. p. 33-41* (Kāt. XV. 4. 21-48), p. 43-44 (*ib. 5. 4-6*), p. 55 (*ib. 6. 12*), *H. p. 79-85*, p. 85-90 (annot.). Following the example of *H. (s. p. 79)* I divide the whole rite in five stages.

sū. 34-36. ‘Making a libation in the waters and drawing them.’ *W. p. 33-41* (with a list), *H. p. 79-82*, p. 84-85: List of Waters, *Cal. Āp. XVIII. 13-20 c. nn.*.—A comparative description becomes complicated as the order of the kinds of water and the application of the homa-grahaṇa-mantras differ from school to school, even from Sūtra to Sūtra (*e. g. Āp.: Hir.*). Difficulties arise from a special treatment of the Sarasvatī-water (*s. below Remark 1*) and the use of a mantra of general import for the libation and drawing of that water, whence comes also a fluctuation in the number of the kinds of water (*s. below Remark 2*). Leaving the details of other schools to *H.*’s clear exposition I limit my notes in principle to the problems important from the Mānava point of view.—

According to sū. 34 all the libations (abhihava) are performed with the mantra: devīr āpo, apāṁ napād, rāṣṭradāḥ stha, rāṣṭram datta svāhā [MS II. 6. 7: p. 67. 18], apparently so also Vār. III. 3. 2. 8 (...ity apo 'bhijuhotī), H. p. 80 c. n. 7. There is, however, an important difference: while the Mānavas (sū. 35) use the next mantra: devīr āpo, apāṁ napād, rāṣṭradāḥ stha, rāṣṭram amuṣmai datta [MS I. c.: p. 67. 18—p. 68. 1] for drawing the S°-water (=Hir. XIII. 5. 11, 13, H. p. 81 c. n. 9) and prescribe that its latter half rāṣṭradāḥ etc. should be added to each grahaṇa-mantra thereafter, no mention is made of the S°-water by the Vārāhas. If the mantra in question was not totally neglected by them, it might have been added to each specific grahaṇa-mantra vṛṣormir asi, etc. But the wording of Vār. ib. 9: uttarottaraiḥ paryāyair homagrahāṇi sarvatra suggests rather that the specific mantras must have been used also for the homa as well as for the grahaṇa. The only solution seems to be that the homa took place with a specific mantra *plus* devīr āpo,...datta svāhā and the grahaṇa with the same specific mantra *plus* devīr āpo,...amuṣmai datta.—Though from a mere enumeration of the mantras in KS XV. 6: p. 213. 1–6 nothing definite can be deduced, so much seems to be quite probable that the Kāthas began with the S°-water using the first mantra: devīr āpo,...datta svāhā for the homa and perhaps its modification: devīr āpo,...amuṣmai datta for the grahaṇa, the remaining mantras being treated similarly.—trīṇi (sū. 34) sc. gṛhitāni ‘in three ladlings’, ‘three times’ G.—The place of drawing the waters is prescribed as āgnīdhre, Vār. ib. 7: māhendrakāla (CH § 198) āgnīdhre pavitrāṇi ‘the unction fluid’, cf. rājasūyāḥ pl., H. p. 86 c. nn. 26, 27) gr̥hṇāti, so also Āp. XVIII. 12. 14, H. p. 79 c. n. 4.—As to the use of the vāyavya-vessels H. p. 79, n. 3 remarks: “Acc. to Hir. the Vāyu vessels should be treated with perfumes on this occasion.” This refers to Hir. XIII. 5. 10: abhivāsitair (Comm. sugandhitair) vāyavyair apāṁ grāhān gr̥hṇāti,—certainly a remarkable precept. However Āp. XVIII. 12. 13 has abhivāsyā (“Nachdem er (den Marutkuchen mit der Asche) bedeckt hat,” Cal. c. n.) grāhān gr̥hṇāti. I can not help suspecting that the original reading of Hir. I. c. was presumably: abhivāsyā tair vā° etc.

Remark 1. On the role of the Sarasvati-water. W. p. 34–36, p. 39, H. p. 81–82.—It is quite natural that the Mānavas begin with the S°-water (sū. 35), since its importance is strongly emphasized in MS IV. 3. 9: p. 49. 4–9 (for the legend of Varuṇa’s tripartition s. Cal. n. 2 ad PB XVIII. 9. 1) as well as in IV. 3. 10: p. 49. 10—p. 50. 2 where the use of this water at the unction is expressly prescribed: yat sārasvatibhiḥ sūyate... (p. 49. 9 and 17, s. H. p. 81). The omission of the S°-water by the Vārāhas is, therefore, rather unexpected though it can be judged as an archaic feature.—The Kāthas too seem to have included the S°-water as the first item. The mantras in KS XV. 6 (p. 213. 1–6), all

ending in *svāhā*, are primarily intended for the libations and the first mantra *devir āpo...datta svāhā* can not be regarded as the common homa-mantra as in the case of *Mān.* IX. 1. 2. 34. In all probability the libation of the S°-water took place with this mantra and the drawing with the same slightly modified at the end as stated above.—As can be seen from the above mentioned mantra: *devir āpo etc.* (MS II. 6. 7: p. 67. 18—p. 68. 1, *Mān.* sū. 35, KS XV. 6: 213. 1), it is remarkable that all the *Saṃhitās* have no mantra specifically fitted for the S°-water and its position in the enumerations of *Brāhmaṇas* and *Sūtras* is not fixed. It is only after having mentioned fifteen specific mantras contained in TS I. 8. 11. a that TB (I. 7. 5. 1–5) speaks of the *grahaṇa* of the S°-water while Baudh. XII. 8 (: p. 98. 1–5) follows this order and applies a mantra of general character (TS ib. b)⁽¹⁾ to the *homa*⁽²⁾ and *grahaṇa* of the S°-water. On the other hand the *Āpast.* and the *Hiraṇyak.* mention the S°-water in the first place (=the *Mānavas*, *Kaṭhas*, s. above) but they do not perfectly agree with each other in the use of the mantra, namely, the former admit only TS I. 8. 11. a, no. 1: *artheta stha* as the specific mantra followed by TS ib. b and c (*Āp.* XVIII. 13. 1, 19, 20) while the latter allow optionally either *artheta stha*⁽³⁾ or *devir āpo etc.* (cf. *Mān.* sū. 34 and 35) followed by TS ib. c⁽⁴⁾ (Hir. XIII. 5. 11–13). Lastly the S°-water is drawn by the *Vājasaneyins* with a mantra of general character, i. e., VS X. 1 (correspond. to TS I. 8. 11. b), s. SB V. 3. 4. 3 and 25 (: no *homa* undertaken), Kāt. XV. 4. 25 and 43.—From these facts one may be allowed to conclude that the S°-water is after all a later addition at the time when the sacred river *Sarasvatī* began to increase in importance and took the position occupied by the *Sindhu* in the older period.

n. 1. In the other cases this mantra accompanies each specific mantra, s. e. g. p. 97.
3–7: the first item.

n. 2. Accord. to Baudhāyana himself the *homa* should be performed *tūṣṇīm* (Baudh. XXII. 18: p. 142. 13–15).

n. 3. Allotted to the *vahantyaḥ* by TB I. 7. 5. 1, Baudh. XII. 8: p. 97. 3.

n. 4. The *Hiraṇyak.* seem to use TS ib. b for a different purpose, s. Hir. XIII. 5. 15 and 17, H. p. 80, n. 8, cf. below under *Mān.* IX. 1. 3. 1–2.

Remark 2. On the number of the waters. W. 39–40, H. p. 79 c. n. 1, p. 88–89, Cal. n. ad *Āp.* XVIII. 13. 18.—The mantras in MS II. 6. 7: p. 67. 18—p. 68. 5 presuppose the number sixteen, cf. MS IV. 3. 10: *śoḍaśa grahā grhyante, śoḍaśa homā hūyante, tad dvātriṁśat* (p. 50. 1–2), IV. 4. 1: *śoḍaśa vā ete grahāḥ prājāpatyāḥ, (sa)mānītaḥ saptadaśāḥ, prājāpatilā saptadaśāḥ, prājāpatim evāpnoti* (p. 51. 6–7).—The *Mānavas* (sū. 36) who use for the *ghṛta* a mantra: *anādhṛṣṭāsi* (not included in MS l. c., but cf. KS XV. 6: p. 213. 5–6 reading °ṣṭāḥ stha) manage to keep the number sixteen by combining together two mantras: *sūryavarcasāḥ stha* and *sūryatvacasāḥ stha* [MS l. c.: p. 68. 3=KS l. c.: p. 213. 4] into one and use it for the rain water and the reflecting water, cf. MS

IV. 4. 1: *yā ātapati varṣati* (*sic*, but s. ŚB V. 3. 4. 13: *atha yā ātapati varṣanti*), *yāś ca paridadṛṣre tā āpo brahmavarcasyāś, tābhī rāṣṭre brahmavarcasāṁ dadhāti* (p. 50. 13-14). The Vārāhas, on the other hand, who leave out the Sarasvatī-water, divide the mantra: *viśvabhrtaḥ stha janabhrtaḥ* [MS l. c.: p. 68. 4] into two, Vār. III. 3. 2. 23: *viśvabhrtaḥ stheti pāyasaḥ*. 24: *janabhrta iti* (without *stha*!) *ghṛtasya*. Keeping thus the traditional number sixteen they conclude the list with: *śoḍaśa gr̥hitvā* (sū. 26).—The Kāthas enumerate eighteen mantras (KS XV. 6: p. 213. 1-6), the first of which seems to be applied to the S°-water. Except the seventeenth mantra: *anādhṛṣṭāḥ stha* (s. above) all the mantras are familiar to us, though it is not clear how to arrive at the expected number 16 or 17. Probably some of them might have been regarded as alternatives.—A tendency of increasing the number is most clearly shown by the Taittir. tradition. The original state of affairs is represented by the enumeration of fifteen specific mantras in TS I. 8. 11. a. Adding the S°-water as the sixteenth item TB I. 7. 5. 5 asserts: *śoḍaśabhir juhoti, ... śoḍaśabhir gr̥hnāti, dvātriṁśat sarmpadyante*: in the same way Baudh. XII. 18: *atha yācati... śoḍaśa vāyavyāny* ('vāyavya-vessels,' s. above under Mān. sū. 34-36), *athāsyaitat purastād eva śoḍaśatayya* (s. Corr. p. 436) *āpo digbhyāḥ saṁbhṛtā(h)... nihitāḥ śerate* (p. 96. 9—p. 97. 2), s. H. p. 79, n. 4.—Though the Āpast. and the Hirānyak. give the number sixteen or seventeen, cf. Āp. XVIII. 12. 15: *śoḍaśa saptadaśa vā homā hūyante*. 16: *tāvanta eva grahā gr̥hyante* (Cal. nn.) and Hir. XIII. 5. 14 end: *śoḍaśa saptadaśa vā gr̥hnāti*, they prescribe in fact seventeen homas and grahaṇas by making use of two mantras known from the Maitrāy.-Kātha tradition, that is, *prahāvari(h)* *stha* (origin. *apra*°, Cal. n. 1 ad Āp. XVIII. 13. 8, =MS II. 6. 7: p. 68. 2, KS XV. 6: p. 213. 3) and *parivāhiṇī(h)* *stha* (=MS l. c., KS l. c., cf. also VS X. 3, ef), s. Āp. XVIII. 13. 8-9, Hir. XIII. 5. 14. Moreover, they do not abide by the precepts of their own Br., espec. in regard to the use of the first mantra: *artheta(h)* *stha*, cf. TB I. 7. 5. 1 (for *vahantyah*), Baudh. XII. 8: p. 97. 3-5 (do.): Āp. XVIII. 13. 1 (for *sārasvatyah*), Hir. XIII. 5. 12 (do.; but this mantra being allowed only as an alternative, s. above Remark 1). And Hir. ib. 14 adds to the mantra: *agnes téjasyah* *stha* two alternatives: *śraviṣṭhāḥ* *stha* (=MS II. 6. 7: p. 68. 4-5, KS XV. 6: p. 213. 6) and *anādhṛṣṭāḥ* (=KS l. c.: p. 213. 5-6).—The number seventeen is proper to the Vājasaneyins, cf. ŚB V. 3. 4. 22: *tā vā etāḥ saptadaśāpah saṁbharati*. 23: *śoḍaśa tā āpo yā abhijuhoti, śoḍaśahutir juhoti* (the grahaṇa only taking place for the S°-water, whence 16 homas), *tā dvātriṁśad, dvayiṣu na juhoti: sārasvatihu ca mariciṣu* ('motes in a sunbeam') *ca, tāś caturtriṁśat*, s. H. p. 81, p. 88-89. As usual Kāt. XV. 4. 25-42 summarize the precepts of its Br. except that the former mentions (sū. 31 and 32) two alternatives for the seventh item *nadiptati* (sū. 30), s. below 'Synopsis,' no. 4, n. 1.

A synopsis of Mān. IX. 1. 2. 35 and 36.

In the following I will arrange in tabular form the mantras and the kinds of water according to the Mānavas and briefly add for reference the related passages of the chief Caraka texts. As for the Taittir. and Vājasan. traditions I confine myself for brevity's sake to refer to H.'s 'List of Waters.'—Abbrev.: M II=MS II. 6. 7 (mantras), M IV=MS IV. 4. 1 (brāhm.); K=KS XV. 6 (mantras); Vr=Vār. III. 3. 2; Ā and V=Āpast.-column and Vājasan.-column respectively of H. p. 84-85; *diff. m.*=A different mantra is used.

1. devir āpo, apāṁ napād, ...=M II: p. 67. 18=p. 68. 1=K no. 1: p. 213.
- 1.—sārasvatyah (s. above Remark 1); cf. Ā no. 1 (*diff. m.*), V no. 1 (*diff. m.*).
2. vr̄ṣormir asi=M II: p. 68. 1=K no. 2: p. 213. 1.—pratipasāriṇyah: M IV: p. 50. 3-5, Vr no. 1 (sū. 10): cf. Ā no. 3 (and no. 6 on account of pratipam), V no. 2 (and no. 5).
3. vr̄ṣaseno 'si=M II: p. 68. 1=K no. 3: p. 213. 2.—anvipasāriṇyah: M IV: p. 50. 3, 5-6, Vr no. 2 (sū. 11); cf. Ā no. 4, V no. 3.
4. apāṁ patir asi=M II: p. 68. 1-2=K no. 4: p. 213. 2-3.—water from a river (nadāt): M IV: p. 50. 6-7: atha yan nadipater, *etc.*, Vr no. 3 (sū. 12: nadasya *ex conj.*, s. ed. p. 118, n. 11); cf. Ā no. 2, V no. 7 (nadipati-).⁽¹⁾

n. 1. It is not believable that an optional river is called apāṁ pathi. Originally at least the term must have been applied to the sea or more probably to the Sindhu, s. W. p. 33 c. n. 8, p. 36, H. p. 87, cf. Āp. XVIII. 13. 3: apāṁ patir iti samudriyāḥ saindhavir vā, yo vānyāḥ purūnadaḥ syāt, Hir. XIII. 5. 14 without the last option (the ed. not in order, the words: puruṣe paśau vābhavete should directly be connected with pratipam *etc.*, cf. Āp. l. c. 4), Baudh. XII. 8: p. 97. 7-8, cf. XXVI. 2: p. 272. 8-9, Kāt. XV. 4. 30: nadipatim 'Meerwasser' W. (: ŚB V. 3. 4. 10), 31-32: two alternatives (W. p. 33, n. 9, p. 34, n. 1, p. 37, n. 6, H. p. 84, n. 20). When the Sindhu-water became unavailable various substitutes had perhaps to be admitted.

5. aprahāvariḥ stha=M II: p. 68. 2=K no. 5: p. 213. 3 (read apra° for pra°, cf. Cal. n. 1 ad Āp. XVIII. 13. 8).—sthāvarāḥ:⁽¹⁾ M IV: p. 50. 7-8, Vr no. 4 (sū. 13; anupadāsi-'never being dry,' cf. upadāsuka-); cf. Ā no. 7⁽²⁾ (read apra°, simil. Hir. XIII. 5. 14 where to read apra° and prajahati for °vahanti, Cal. l. c., H. p. 84, n. 19), V no. 9 (*diff. m.*; cf. below 10).

n. 1. Cf. sthāvarāḥ: Vr no. 8 (sū. 17), Ā no. 11 (XVIII. 13. 12, Cal. n.).
n. 2. The mantra=MS-KS ll. cc.

6. parivāhiṇīḥ stha=M II: p. 68. 2=K no. 6: p. 213. 3.—vāhinyah⁽¹⁾: M IV p. 50. 8-9 (H. p. 87), Vr no. 5 (nīvāhasya?); cf. Ā no. 8⁽²⁾ (parinādinām, so better than parina,° 'das überfliessende (?) Wasser' Cal. n. ad Āp. XVIII. 13. 9, 'water flowing around' H. p. 84, n. 19), V. no. 6 (apayantyah, ŚB V. 3. 4. 9, Kāt. XV. 4. 29).

n. 1. ‘flowing water’ G., for the meaning cf. vahantyāḥ Baudh. XII. 8: p. 97. 2 and 3, sravantinām Hir. XIII. 5. 14 (where to read pariṇadim for °ṇatim, Cal. n. 2 ad Āp. XVIII. 13. 9).

n. 2. The mantra=MS-KS ll. cc., cf. also VS X. 3. ef.

7. ojasvinih stha=M II: p. 68. 2=K no. 7: p. 213. 3-4.—yā uñsiya dhāveyuh ‘water that flies up, then runs on’ G.: M IV: p. 50. 9-10: atha yā āpa uñserā (read so for āpo 'ñserā)⁽¹⁾, ojasā..., Vr no. 6: atīrthe pravahantinām; cf. V no. 5: yāḥ syandamānānām pratipariṇ syandante (ŚB V. 3. 4. 8, pratilomāḥ Kāt. XV. 4. 28); lacking in Ā.

n. 1. uñserē=ucchere, ud-śi-, s. Cal. n. 2 ad Āp. XVIII. 13. 8, K. Hoffmann MSS 8, p. 18.

8. māndāḥ stha=M II: p. 68. 2=K no. 11: p. 213. 4.—mandarī⁽¹⁾ vahantyāḥ: (skipped over by M IV), Vr no. 7: kūpyānām (s. below 9); cf. Ā no. 11: sthāvarāṇām (cf. above 5), V no. 11: atha vaiśantir gṛhṇāti (ŚB V. 3. 4. 14, sarasyāḥ⁽²⁾ Kāt. XV. 4. 36).

n. 1. On mānda- “delightful”: manda- “slow,” s. Cal. n. ad Āp. XVIII. 13. 12, cf. also Hir. XIII. 5. 14: sihāvarāṇām, yā vā mandam iva syandante.

n. 2. Cf. palvalyāḥ Baudh. XII. 8: p. 97. 9.

9. vrajakṣitaḥ stha=M II: p. 68. 3=K no. 8: p. 213. 4.—kūpyāḥ: M IV: p. 50. 10-13⁽¹⁾, Vr no. 8: sthāvarāḥ (s. above 8 and cf. also 5); cf. Ā no. 5, V no. 12.

n. 1. The passage contains in the explanation of the kūpyāḥ a phrase: yat sthāvarāṇām gṛhṇāti while the mantra: māndāḥ stha (above 8) is skipped over; but the Vārāhas, wishing to make up the defect, connects this mantra with the kūpyāḥ and the next one: vrajakṣitaḥ stha with the sthāvarāḥ.

10. sūryavarcasāḥ stha and sūryatvacasāḥ stha⁽¹⁾=M II: p. 68. 3=K nos. 9 and 10: p. 213. 4.—yā ātapati varṣati (read °ṣanti), yāś ca paridadṛṣre ‘rainwater in sunshine’ and ‘reflecting water’ G.: M IV: p. 50. 13-14, Vr nos. 9 and 10; cf. Ā nos. 9 and 10, V no. 10: atha yā ātapati varṣanti (ŚB V. 3. 4. 13; antarikṣat pratigṛhyātapavarṣyāḥ Kāt. XV. 4. 35) and no. 9: atha yaḥ syandamānānām sthāvaro hrado bhavati (s. above 5) pratyātāpe (ŚB I. c. 12; Kāt. I. c. 34)

n. 1. We have certainly two distinct mantras (=TS I. 8. 11. a, nos. 7 and 8, VS X. 4. cd and ab) meant for drawing two kinds of water, but the Mānavas seem to have put them together in order to obtain the traditional number sixteen (s. above Remark 2).

11. marutām ojaḥ stha=M II: p. 68. 3=K no. 14: p. 213. 5.—hrādunyah⁽¹⁾: M IV: p. 50. 14—p. 51. 1, Vr no. 11: aprṣṭhe (for aprṣve? cf. prṣvānām to be read for prṣṭhānām Hir. XIII. 5. 14, s. below 12; but the meaning?); cf. Ā no. 6: yāḥ pratipariṇ (cf. above 2) gacchanti, hraduninām vā; lacking in V.

n. 1. Cf. Baudh. XII. 8: māndā stheti (s. above 8) hrādunyah (p. 97. 11), while the mantra: marutām etc. is applied to niveṣtyāḥ (ib. 9-10; niv°=āvartāḥ XXVI 2: p. 272. 9-10, cf. also niveṣyāḥ V no. 8, H. p. 85, n. 22).

12. vaśāḥ stha=M II: p. 68. 3-4=K no. 12: p. 213. 5.—puṣpāḥ⁽¹⁾: M IV:

atha yat puṣpāṇām āraṇyam tena (p. 51. 1), Vr no. 12: pruṣvāṇām; cf. Ā no. 12: vāśā iti pruṣvāṇām (*ex conj.*), V no. 13: pruṣvāḥ (SB V. 3. 4. 16, Kāt. XV. 4. 38, ‘Reiftropfen’ W. p. 34 c. n. 5, ‘dew drops’ Eggeling SBE XLI, p. 77 c. n. 1.)

n. 1. G. tr. p. 253, n. 4 proposes to read prṣvāṇām or pruṣvāṇām. For the emend. speak Baudh. XII. 8: p. 97. 1f (prṣvāḥ), Āp. XVIII. 13. 13 (cit. above; s. Cal. n.), and Hir. XIII. 5. 14 (where prṣvāṇām should be read for prṣṭhāṇām ed., cf. above 11). In this case we have to read so in MS 1. c. too, since a slighter emend. pauspāṇām in the sense of ‘dew drops on flowers’ would hardly meet with a general approbation.

13. śakvariḥ stha=M II: p. 68. 4=K no. 13: p. 213. 5.—gor ulbhāḥ: M IV: p. 51. 1-3, Vr no. 13: gomūtrasya; cf. Ā no. 13, V no. 15.

14. viśvabhr̥taḥ stha janabhr̥taḥ=M II: p. 68. 4=K no. 16: viśvabhr̥ stha and no. 15: janabhr̥ stha (p. 213. 5).⁽¹⁾—dadhi ślakṣṇam: M IV: atha yat payasah, ... (p. 51. 3), Vr no. 14: v° stheti payasah, no. 15: j° (without stha) iti ghṛtasya (s. below 15); cf. Ā no. 14: v° iti payasah, no. 15: j° iti dādhnaḥ, V no. 17: ghṛtam, no. 16: payas.

n. 1. Treated as two independent mantras as in TS I. 8. 11. a, nos. 12 and 13, and VS X. 4. pq and rs.

15. anādhr̥ṣṭāsi (lacking in M II)=K no. 7: °ṣṭās stha (p. 213. 5-6).—ghṛtam (cf. above 14): M IV: yad ghṛtasya, etā vā āpo 'nādhr̥ṣyā(h)... (p. 51. 3-4) in support of the use of this mantra; lacking in Vr. Cf. Hir. XIII. 5. 4: agnes tejasyah stheti [TS I. 8. 11. a, no. 14] ghṛtasya (=Ā no. 16), śraviṣṭhāḥ stha [MS II: p. 68. 4-5, K: p. 213. 6, part of the mantra cit. below under 16, cf. also VS X. 4. 1m], anādhr̥ṣṭā iti vā. Lacking in V.

16. apāṁ oṣādhīnāṁ rasaḥ [śraviṣṭhāḥ stha]=M II: p. 68. 4-5=K no. 18: p. 213. 6.—madhu: M IV: p. 51. 4-5, Vr no. 16.; cf. Ā no. 17, V no. 14: śaviṣṭhā stha (VS X. 4. 1m, SB V. 3. 4. 17): śaiṣṭhā stha (VSK p. 306 ed., ŠBK VII. 2. 2. 18).

sū. 37. ‘Pouring the different fluids into a collective vessel.’ W. p. 38, H. p. 82.—The mantras=MS II. 6. 8: p. 68. 6-8 (: IV. 4. 2: p. 51. 8-9, 9-10, without any vidhi-element)=KS XV. 6: p. 213. 9-10. Cf. Vār. III. 3. 2. 26: (śoḍāśa gr̥hitvā) devir āpo madhumatir iti saṁṣṭjati. 27: anādhr̥ṣṭāḥ sidatety antarā hotur dhiṣṇyam brāhmaṇacchaṁśinaś ca sādayati.—vistṛte pātre ‘in a large vessel’; for the practice of other schools s. H. p. 82 c. n. 13.—The place of putting the pātra: ‘behind the pot’s dhiṣṇya’ (Mān.); the precept of the Vārāhas agrees with that of TB I. 7. 6. 1 *cum suis*, s. H. p. 83. Differently Kāt. XV. 4. 47: maitrāvaraṇadhiṣṇyasya purastāt: SB V. 3. 4. 28, cf. also ib. 5. 10. eke of Hir. XIII. 5. 19: apareṇa hotriyam upasādayatity ekeśām can not be identified.

IX. 1. 3.

sū. 1-3. ‘Purifying the unction fluid.’ W. p. 43-44, H. p. 82—83.
 sū. 1-2. The mantras=MS II. 6. 8: p. 68. 11 and 12 (: IV. 4. 2: p. 51. 11—12, 12—13: alluding to the use of gold)=KS XV. 6: p. 213. 11—12. Cf. Vār. III. 3. 2. 28 (corrupt beyond emend).—A piece of gold (rukma) attached to two darbha-stalks: accord. to Vār. I. c. ‘weighing a hundred kṛṣṇalas and pierced with a hundred holes (śatakṛṣṇala- and śatāṭṛṇṇa-), H. p. 82, cf. also Hir. XIII. 5. 20. The use of gold instead of the usual pavitras is expressly prescribed by TB I. 7. 6. 1: hiranyenotpunāti, āhutyai hi pavitrābhyaṁ utpunanti vyāvṛttyai; accordingly Baudh. XII. 9: p. 98. 12, 14—p. 99. 2 provides for a piece of gold bound to a darbhanaḍī, while Āp. XVIII. 13. 21 as well as Hir. XIII. 5. 20 simply require a piece of gold. The Vājasan., however, speak directly of the pavitre, ŚB V. 3. 5. 15 and 16, Kāt. XV. 5. 4: pavitre kṛtvā [with VS X. 6. a]⁽¹⁾ hiraṇyam enayoh pravayati⁽²⁾. 5: tābhyaṁ utpunāty apah: savitur va iti [VS I. c. b], s. H. p. 83 beg.—The mantra: apo devīr madhumatī agṛbhṇām etc.=MS II. 6. 8: p. 68. 9—10=KS XV. 6: p. 213. 7—8 (corresp. to TS I. 8. 11. b, VS X. 1: a mantra of general character, s. H. p. 80 and p. 81 beg.) is not mentioned in MS IV. 4. 2 nor in Mān., while Vār. III. 3. 2. 28 beg. uses it for an abhimantraṇa before taking up the rukma; cf. Hir. XIII. 5. 15: apo devīr madhumatī agṛhṇann iti [TS I. c.] gṛhitvābhimantrayate (i. e. perhaps just after all the grahanas). 16: devīr āpah sam...sṛjyadhvam [TS I. 8. 12. a] iti vaitase droṇe samavanayati. 17: atrābhimantraṇam eke samāmananti, cf. H. p. 80. c. n. 8. The place of the mantra in KS, that is, immediately after the specific mantras, speaks for its use before the pouring together of the fluids (: Hir. I. c. 15) while that in MS, that is, after the mantra for the pouring together accords well with the practice of *eke* of Hir. I. c. 17.—Vār. III. 3. 2. 28 (after a hopelessly corrupt passage) continues: gāyatryā [MS II. 6. 8: p. 68. 13—14 (: IV. 4. 2: p. 51. 14—15 without any vidhi-element)=KS XV. 6: p. 213. 12—13 without vasoh; no viniyoga indicated in Mān.] tri rukmenotpūya; cf. Hir. XIII. 5. 20: anibhr̥ṣṭam asiti [TS I. 8. 12. c] śatāṭṛṇṇām sauvarṇam ādāya śukrā vah...iti [ib. d] tena trir utpunāti.

n. 1. Just as at the darśapūrṇam., as ŚBK VII. 2. 4. 12 states; cf. Hillebr. NVO p. 20—27, Kāt. II. 3. 31, 33, 35 with VS I. 12. abc.

n. 2. “... bindet er Gold an sie beide” W. p. 43, “He weaves gold (threads) into them” Eggel. SBE XLI, p. 84. Cf. ŚBK VII. 2. 4. 12: atha suśire iva hiraṇye kṛte bhavatas (*read so far* bhavas), te pavitrayoh prote bhavataḥ.

sū. 3. The mantra: svāhā rājasūyāḥ⁽¹⁾=MS II. 6. 8: p. 68. 15 (: IV. 4. 2: p. 51. 15—16, without any vidhi-element)=KS XV. 6: p. 213. 13 (combined with the preceding mantra in the ed).—This is used for sinking the rukma in the vessel by the Mānavas⁽²⁾, but it is not sure whether the Kāthas knew the same use of it. Though VS X. 6. c: ŚB V. 3. 4. 16—18, certainly intended for purifying

the fluid, ends with svāhā rājasvah⁽³⁾ (cf. ŚB I. c. 18: tad enāḥ svāhākāreṇai-votpunāti), this part is not regarded as an independent mantra.

- n. 1. On the pl. form s. H. p. 86 c. nn. 26, 27.
- n. 2. For the use of this mantra by the Vārāhas s. below under sū. 4.
- n. 3. S. W. p. 29, n. 2, p. 37 c. n. 1, H. p. 86 c. n. 28. Kāt. XV. 5. 5, mentioning VS X. 6. b, does not indicate a special use of ib. c.

sū. 4. ‘Pouring out again the collected waters into the four unction cups.’ W. p. 43–44, H. p. 83.—The mantra=MS II. 6. 8: p. 68. 16–18 (: IV. 4. 2 : sadhamādo . . . ekā iti vyānayati vāruṇyā, vāruṇīr hy āpaḥ, svayaiva devatayā (p. 57. 16–17), for vāruṇyā cf. TB I. 7. 6. 4 : vāruṇyarcā gṛhṇāti)=KS XV. 6 : p. 213. 14–15. Cf. Vār. III. 3. 2. 28 . . . pālāśam āśvattham audumbararṇ naiyagrodham iti pātrāṇi, teṣu rājasūyā ity apo vyānayati. Probably the use of the mantra: svāhā rājasūyāḥ (s. above sū. 3) is intended which must have been put at the head of mantra: sahamādo etc., since the corresp. mantra: TS I. 8. 12. e or VS X. 7 (*read* vasānah *for* °nāḥ, W. p. 43, n. 7, Lüders Varuṇa I, p. 51, n. 1) is unanimously employed for the vyānayana.—The four unction cups: MS IV. 4. 2 : p. 52. 3–11, cf. Mān. sū. 21 below, Vār. III. 3. 2. 48 (paragraph of the abhiṣeka); Baudh. XII. 9 : p. 98. 3–8, (9), Āp. XIII. 13. 21, Hir. XIII. 5. 21, ŚB V. 3. 5. 11–14, Kāt. XV. 4. 48.

sū. 5–6. ‘Disposing of the remainder left over in the collective vessel.’ W. p. 55, H. p. 83–84.

sū. 5. The mantra=MS II. 6. 8 : p. 69. 1 (: IV. 4. 2 : p. 51. 17–19, without any vidhi-element, *read* svāheti *for* °hesi in l. 18)=KS XV. 6 : p. 213. 16: (*with* krivi param)⁽⁴⁾. Cf. Vār. III. 3. 2. 29 : rudra yat ta iti śeṣam āgnidhre hutvā.—Thus immediately after the vyānayana of the unction fluid: Āp. XVIII. 13. 22 (Cal. n. 1).—āgnidhre : TB I. 7. 8. 6 (Cal. l. c.) *cum suis*, cf. also Kāt. XV. 6. 12 : ŚB V. 4. 2. 10, H. p. 84 beg.

- n. 1. giri (-param) MS: krivi KS, VS X. 20. c: kravi VSK p. 307 ed.: krayin TS I. 8. 14. l. s. Rönnow AO XVI, p. 179–180, cf. W. p. 6, n. 1, p. 55, n. 5, Keith TS tr. I, p. 126, n. 3.

sū. 6. The mantra=MS II. 6. 8: p. 69. 2 (: IV. 4. 2 : p. 51. 19—p. 52. 3, without any vidhi-element), cf. KS XV. 6 : p. 213. 16–18 (var., cf. H. p. 115, n. 6). Cf. Vār. III. 3. 2. 29 (sec. half) : somā indra iti yajamānam anumantrayate in contrast with yajamānarṇ vācayati (Mān.), s. H. p. 83. (June 1964)

(To be continued.)